



Covariant model structures and simplicial localization

Danny Stevenson¹

Received: May 30, 2016/Accepted: June 23, 2017/Online: July 25, 2017

Abstract

In this paper we prove that for any simplicial set B , there is a Quillen equivalence between the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B and a certain localization of the projective model structure on the category of simplicial presheaves on the simplex category Δ/B of B . We extend this result to give a new Quillen equivalence between this covariant model structure and the projective model structure on the category of simplicial presheaves on the simplicial category $\mathcal{C}[B]$. We study the relationship with Lurie’s straightening theorem. Along the way we also prove some results on localizations of simplicial categories and quasi-categories.

Keywords: quasi-categories, left fibrations, covariant model structure, simplicial categories, simplicial localization.

msc: 55U35, 18G30, 18G55.

1 Introduction

Let B be a simplicial set and let Δ/B denote the simplex category of B . The starting point of this paper is the following observation: via Dugger’s interpretation of the projective model structure on the category of simplicial presheaves $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ as a *universal homotopy theory*², left Kan extension along the canonical functor $y/B: \Delta/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$ induces a Quillen adjunction

$$\text{Re: } [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B: \text{Sing}$$

for the projective model structure on $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ and the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B , the category of simplicial sets over B . Recall that the covariant model structure, due to Joyal and Lurie, has as its fibrant objects the *left fibrations* over B . These are the quasi-categorical analogs of discrete left fibrations in ordinary category theory; just as discrete left fibrations correspond to diagrams of sets so too

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005 Australia.

²Dugger, 2001, “Universal homotopy theories”.

do left fibrations correspond to (homotopy coherent) diagrams of *spaces*. This is the content of the following theorem³.

Theorem (straightening theorem) – *Let B be a simplicial set. Then there is a Quillen equivalence*

$$\mathrm{St}_B: \mathbf{S}/B \rightleftarrows [\mathcal{C}[B], \mathbf{S}]: \mathrm{Un}_B$$

where the category of simplicial functors $[\mathcal{C}[B], \mathbf{S}]$ is equipped with the projective model structure and \mathbf{S}/B is equipped with the covariant model structure.

Here $\mathcal{C}[B]$ is the simplicial category of Example 1.1.5.8 of Lurie (2009); it is also studied in Dugger and Spivak (2011) and Riehl (2011). The functors St_B and Un_B are called the *straightening* and *unstraightening* functors respectively. An object of $[\mathcal{C}[B], \mathbf{S}]$, i.e. a simplicial functor $F: \mathcal{C}[B] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$, can be thought of as an assignment of a simplicial set F_b to every vertex of B , and a simplicial map $F_b \rightarrow F_{b'}$ to every edge from b to b' , together with coherence data for higher dimensional simplices of B . Thus F is a kind of homotopy coherent diagram of simplicial sets on B . The effect of the functor St_B is to “straighten out” a space over B into a homotopy coherent diagram of spaces.

Recall that the functor $\mathcal{C}[-]: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{SCat}$ forms part of an adjoint pair

$$\mathcal{C}[-]: \mathbf{S} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{SCat}: N_\Delta$$

where N_Δ is the *simplicial* or *homotopy coherent nerve* functor⁴. In Lurie (2009) the straightening theorem is applied to prove a key theorem of Joyal and Lurie⁵ which asserts that the adjoint pair $(\mathcal{C}[-], N_\Delta)$ is a Quillen adjunction for the Joyal model structure on \mathbf{S} and the *Bergner model structure*⁶ on \mathbf{SCat} . The straightening theorem occupies a central place in the theory of quasi-categories (often called ∞ -categories).

In this paper, amongst other things, we shall give a new proof of the straightening theorem. Our approach will be to reduce to the special case in which B is the nerve of a category via simplicial localization both for simplicial categories and quasi-categories.

To this end, our first main observation is that the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B can be obtained as a certain localization of the projective model structure on $[(\Delta/B)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$. We let $W \subset \Delta/B$ denote the wide subcategory whose maps have as their underlying maps in the simplex category Δ the *initial vertex* maps, i.e. the maps $u: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ such that $u(0) = 0$. Under the Yoneda embedding $y_{\Delta/B}: \Delta/B \rightarrow [(\Delta/B)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$, the set of arrows of W is mapped to a set of arrows in $[(\Delta/B)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ that we shall also denote by W . Thus we may consider the left Bousfield localization

³Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Theorem 2.2.1.2.

⁴Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Definition 1.1.5.5;
Cordier, 1982, “Sur la notion de diagramme homotopiquement cohérent”.

⁵Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Theorem 1.1.5.13.

⁶Bergner, 2007, “A model category structure on the category of simplicial categories”.

1. Introduction

$L_W[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ of the projective model structure with respect to W . In Section 4.2 on p. 167 we prove the following result.

Theorem 1 – *The Quillen adjunction (Re, Sing) descends to a Quillen equivalence*

$$L_W[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B$$

between the localized projective model structure and the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B .

This is the first of two Quillen equivalences that we obtain linking the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B with a localization of the projective model structure. The second of these Quillen equivalences arises as follows. By composing with the forgetful functor $\mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$, we may regard the functor $y/B: \Delta/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$ above as a simplicial diagram $y/B: \Delta/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$. The *simplicial replacement* of y/B is then the bisimplicial set $s(y/B)$ whose n -th row is

$$s(y/B)_n = \bigsqcup_{\sigma_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n} y/B(\sigma_0)$$

where the coproduct is taken over the set of n -simplices in the nerve of Δ/B . The bisimplicial set $s(y/B)$ comes equipped with a natural row augmentation $s(y/B) \rightarrow B$. Given $X \in \mathbf{S}/B$ we may then form a bisimplicial set

$$s(y/B) \times_B X$$

which again has a natural row augmentation over B . We may regard $s_!(X) := s(y/B) \times_B X$ as a simplicial presheaf on Δ/B ; this construction is functorial in X and so defines a cocontinuous functor

$$s_!: \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}].$$

It follows that the functor $s_!$ forms part of an adjoint pair $(s_!, s^!)$. In Section 4.3 on p. 171 we prove the following result.

Theorem 2 – *Let B be a simplicial set. The adjoint pair*

$$s_!: \mathbf{S}/B \rightleftarrows L_W[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]: s^!$$

is a Quillen equivalence for the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B and the localized projective model structure on $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$.

To connect these theorems to the straightening theorem of Lurie, we need to relate the simplicial set B to its simplex category Δ/B . There is a well-known device which does this, namely the *last vertex* map. This is a map $p_B: N(\Delta/B) \rightarrow B$ defined as follows: since the domain and codomain of p_B are cocontinuous functors of B , it suffices to define p_B in the case where $B = \Delta[n]$ is a simplex. In that case, p_B is

the nerve of the functor $\Delta/[n] \rightarrow [n]$ which sends $u: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ to $u(m)$. We note the map p_B has appeared in many places⁷.

This map p_B is interesting in its own right; among other things it can be used to show that every simplicial set has the weak homotopy type of the nerve of a category. We shall give a proof of an unpublished result of Joyal's⁸ asserting that the map p_B exhibits the simplicial set B as a *localization* of $N(\Delta/B)$ ⁹. To state the result, let us write S for the set of *final vertex* maps in Δ/B . Thus a map $u: \Delta[m] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ in Δ/B belongs to S if and only if $u(m) = n$. We then have

Theorem 3 (Joyal) – *Let B be a simplicial set. Then the canonical map $p_B: N(\Delta/B) \rightarrow B$ exhibits B as a localization of $N(\Delta/B)$ with respect to the set S of final vertex maps in Δ/B . In particular the induced map $L(N(\Delta/B), S) \rightarrow B$ is a weak categorical equivalence.*

Here *localization* is understood in the context of quasi-categories (see Definition 7 on p. 180). One may think of this theorem as an analog for simplicial sets of Theorem 2.5 from Dwyer and Kan (1987); the category Δ/B plays the role of the *flattening* of a simplicial category. This theorem will play a key role for us; one important application of it is the following. If B is a simplicial set then we shall see in Section 6.2 on p. 191 that there is a map

$$B^{\text{op}} \rightarrow N_{\Delta} \mathbf{L}(B)$$

from the opposite simplicial set into the simplicial nerve of the simplicial category $\mathbf{L}(B)$ of left fibrations over B . When B is a quasi-category this map sends a vertex b of B to the left fibration $B_{b/}$ over B , while an edge $f: a \rightarrow b$ is sent to a map $f_!: B_{b/} \rightarrow B_{a/}$ together with coherency data for higher dimensional simplices. Of course the existence of such a map is well known, what we offer is a fresh perspective on how to construct it.

The adjoint of this map is a simplicial functor $\mathcal{C}[B]^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(B)$; we shall use it, together with Theorem 1 on the previous page, to give a simple proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 4 – *Let B be a simplicial set. The map $\mathcal{C}[B]^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(B)$ induces a Quillen adjunction*

$$\phi_!: [\mathcal{C}[B], \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B: \phi^!$$

for the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B and the projective model structure on $[\mathcal{C}[B], \mathbf{S}]$. Moreover this Quillen adjunction is a Quillen equivalence.

⁷See for instance Waldhausen, 1985, “Algebraic K-theory of spaces”, Section 1.6.

⁸See Joyal, 2007, “Notes on quasi-categories”, (13.6).

⁹This result also appears in Toën and Vezzosi, 2015, “Caractères de Chern, traces équivariantes et géométrie algébrique dérivée”, in the context of Segal categories.

1. Introduction

We use this theorem, together with Theorem 3 on the preceding page and Dwyer and Kan (1987, Theorem 2.2) to give a simple proof of the straightening theorem in Section 6.4 on p. 196. In fact, as we shall see (Remark 7 on p. 194), we do not need the full force of Theorem 4 on the preceding page, only a watered down version of it when $B = NC$ is the nerve of a category (this watered down version may be given a direct proof).

We shall also use Theorem 3 on the preceding page to give a new model for the rigidification of a simplicial set B into a simplicial category $\mathcal{C}[B]$: we shall prove (Proposition 15 on p. 186) that $\mathcal{C}[B]$ is weakly equivalent in the homotopy category $\text{ho}(\mathbf{SCat})$ of the Bergner model structure to the hammock localization of Δ/B at a subcategory of initial or final vertex maps.

Along the way to proving all of these results, we prove some new results on simplicial localizations of categories, together with some new results on localizations of quasi-categories.

We comment on the relationship of our work to existing work by other authors. Firstly, it goes without saying that this paper owes a tremendous debt to the foundational works of Joyal and Lurie, in particular the influence of the notes Joyal (2007, 2008) will be clear. Secondly, after completing this work, we became aware of the very nice paper Heuts and Moerdijk (2015) which is closely related to our results. For instance, the functor $s_!$ from our Theorem 2 on p. 139 is closely related to the rectification functor $r_!$ in Proposition B from Heuts and Moerdijk (2015). Our Theorem 1 on p. 139 and Theorem 2 on p. 139 go beyond the work of these authors in that we allow more general base spaces than nerves of categories. Subsequent to the posting of the first version of the paper to the arXiv, the pre-print Heuts and Moerdijk (2016) appeared which also gives a new proof of the straightening theorem as well as a result analogous to Theorem 4 on the preceding page above. Our work complements Heuts and Moerdijk (2016) in the following ways: firstly, our methods are quite different to those of Heuts and Moerdijk (2016) and lead to what we believe is also a fairly conceptual proof of the straightening theorem; secondly, our work has the virtue of being self-contained, in particular we do not need to assume the full strength of the Quillen equivalence $(\mathcal{C}[-], N_\Delta)$ between the Joyal model structure and the Bergner model structure (we shall occasionally use the much more easily proved fact that $\mathcal{C}[-]$ is left Quillen). The only result that we use but does not appear in Lurie (2009) is Proposition 11 on p. 175; this is proven in Riehl (2011) using the necklace technology of Dugger and Spivak (2011) (there is also an unpublished proof which does not depend on this technology).

We have tried to make the paper reasonably self contained, hopefully this does not make the paper even more tedious to read than it would be otherwise. We have assumed basic familiarity with standard material on quasi-categories and covariant model structures, for example the material in Chapters 1–2 of Lurie (2009) or the material in Joyal (2008). We summarize the standard material on covariant model structures in Section 2 on p. 143, as well as providing proofs of some results which

do not appear in these sources. In more detail, in Section 2.3 on p. 145 we recall some basic facts about left anodyne maps and prove Proposition 4 on p. 146, which gives a convenient way to determine whether a saturated class of monomorphisms in \mathbf{S} contains the left anodyne maps. In Section 2.4 on p. 148 we prove Theorem 7 on p. 148 which gives a useful characterization (due to Joyal) of covariant equivalences; this in turn yields a useful characterization of cofinal maps (Theorem 8 on p. 151, due again to Joyal) which yields Quillen's Theorem A for quasi-categories as an easy corollary. We give a characterization (Proposition 5 on p. 154) of the class of those maps of simplicial sets which induce Quillen equivalences of covariant model structures by base change (this is a quasi-categorical analog of the main theorem from Dwyer and Kan (1987)). We also give an elementary proof of Theorem 10 on p. 151 (elementary in the sense that it only depends on the preceding standard facts about covariant model structures), asserting that weak categorical equivalences belong to this class.

We begin Section 3 on p. 157 by recalling some basic facts about the category \mathbf{SS} of bisimplicial sets, especially its structure as a simplicially enriched category. In Section 3.3 on p. 159, for a fixed simplicial set B we study the projective model structure on the slice category $\mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$ and the horizontal Reedy model structure on $\mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$ associated to the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B . We compare these two model structures in Proposition 8 on p. 161, using the realization and nerve functors d and d_* .

In Section 3.4 on p. 161 we study the notion of a horizontal Reedy left fibration in \mathbf{SS} and an enhanced version of this notion, the notion of a *strong* horizontal Reedy left fibration (Definition 2 on p. 161). Associated to these notions is an allied concept of left anodyne map in \mathbf{SS} . We study how these notions are related to left fibrations and left anodyne maps in \mathbf{S} via the diagonal map $d: \mathbf{SS} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$. Our main result in this section is Theorem 11 on p. 164, which shows that the diagonal of a strong horizontal Reedy left fibration in \mathbf{SS} is a left fibration in \mathbf{S} ; a related result (Proposition 9 on p. 165) shows that the diagonal of a level-wise cofinal map of bisimplicial sets is cofinal. Theorem 11 on p. 164 is a starting point for the development of a theory of covariant model structures for bisimplicial sets, which we will discuss in a future paper. Theorem 11 on p. 164 also plays a key role in Section 4 on p. 166 where we establish the Quillen equivalences above, Theorem 1 on p. 139 and Theorem 2 on p. 139 respectively.

We turn our attention to localization of simplicial categories and quasi-categories in Section 5 on p. 174. We begin in Section 5.1 on p. 174 by recalling a version of simplicial localization introduced by Lurie and show that this procedure gives simplicial categories DK-equivalent to the Dwyer-Kan simplicial localization introduced in Dwyer and Kan (1980b). In Section 5.2 on p. 179 we recall the definition of localization of quasi-categories due to Joyal and Lurie and relate this notion to Bousfield localizations of covariant model structures. In Section 5.3 on p. 184 we prove Theorem 3 on p. 140 and Proposition 15 on p. 186, the latter giving the new

2. The covariant model structure

model for the simplicial rigidification $\mathfrak{C}[B]$ mentioned previously. In Section 5.4 on p. 187 we extend the notion of L -cofinal functor¹⁰ to the setting of quasi-categories and prove a generalization of Theorem (6.5) of Dwyer and Kan (1984a) (Theorem 16 on p. 189). Finally, in Section 6 on p. 190 we discuss the straightening theorem.

Notation 1 – With a few exceptions, we will use the notation from Joyal and Tierney (2007) and Joyal (2008). Thus we denote by \mathbf{S} the category of simplicial sets. The simplicial n -simplex in \mathbf{S} is denoted by $\Delta[n]$. We denote by \emptyset the initial object of \mathbf{S} and by 1 the terminal object of \mathbf{S} (i.e. the simplicial 0-simplex $\Delta[0]$); sometimes we will denote both the category $[1]$ and the simplicial interval $\Delta[1]$ by I . The groupoid completion of $[1]$ and its nerve will be denoted by J . The fundamental category of a simplicial set A will be denoted by $\tau_1(A)$.

2 The covariant model structure

2.1 The simplicial enrichment of \mathbf{S}/B

Let B be a simplicial set. There is a canonical enrichment of the slice category \mathbf{S}/B over the category of simplicial sets. If $\text{map}(-, -)$ denotes the standard simplicial enrichment of \mathbf{S} , then for $X, Y \in \mathbf{S}/B$ the simplicial mapping space $\text{map}_B(X, Y) \in \mathbf{S}$ is defined to be

$$\text{map}_B(X, Y) = \text{map}(X, Y) \times_{\text{map}(X, B)} 1$$

where the map $1 \rightarrow \text{map}(X, B)$ is the structure map $X \rightarrow B$, regarded as a vertex of the simplicial set $\text{map}(X, B)$. If $K \in \mathbf{S}$ and $X \in \mathbf{S}/B$ then the tensor $X \otimes K$ is defined by $X \otimes K = X \times K$, regarded as an object of \mathbf{S}/B via the canonical map $X \times K \rightarrow X \rightarrow B$. The cotensor X^K is defined to be

$$X^K = \text{map}(K, X) \times_{\text{map}(K, B)} B,$$

where $B \rightarrow \text{map}(K, B)$ is conjugate to the canonical map $B \times K \rightarrow B$. With these definitions we have the isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{S}/B(X \otimes K, Y) \simeq \mathbf{S}(K, \text{map}_B(X, Y)) \simeq \mathbf{S}/B(X, Y^K),$$

natural in $X, Y \in \mathbf{S}/B$ and $K \in \mathbf{S}$.

2.2 The covariant model structure for simplicial sets

In Joyal (2008) and Lurie (2009) it is proven that there is the structure of a simplicial model category on \mathbf{S}/B for which the left fibrations over B are the fibrant objects.

¹⁰Dwyer and Kan, 1984a, “A classification theorem for diagrams of simplicial sets”.

Recall that a map $p: X \rightarrow B$ is said to be a *left fibration* if it has the right lifting property (RLP) against the class of *left anodyne* maps in \mathbf{S} (we review the concept of left anodyne map in more detail in the next section). This model structure is called the *covariant* model structure on \mathbf{S}/B , it is described in the following theorem.

Theorem 5 (Joyal-Lurie) – *There is a structure of a left proper, combinatorial model category on \mathbf{S}/B with respect to which a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{S}/B is a*

- *cofibration if it is a monomorphism,*
- *weak equivalence if it is a covariant equivalence.*

The fibrant objects for this model structure are precisely the left fibrations over B . The model structure is simplicial with respect to the simplicial enrichment above.

Recall¹¹ that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{S}/B is a covariant equivalence if and only if the induced map on path components

$$\pi_0 \operatorname{map}_B(f, Z): \pi_0 \operatorname{map}_B(Y, Z) \rightarrow \pi_0 \operatorname{map}_B(X, Z)$$

is a bijection for every left fibration $Z \rightarrow B$. Following Joyal, let us write $\mathbf{L}(B)$ for the full sub-category of \mathbf{S}/B spanned by the left fibrations. Note that $\mathbf{L}(B)$ is enriched over \mathbf{Kan} , the category of Kan complexes. We will write $\mathbf{Kan}(B)$ for the full sub-category of \mathbf{S}/B spanned by the Kan fibrations; note that $\mathbf{Kan}(B) \subset \mathbf{L}(B)$.

We note the following facts.

Theorem 6 (Joyal-Lurie) – *A map $X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{L}(B)$ is a covariant equivalence if and only if it is a fiberwise weak homotopy equivalence in the sense that the induced map $X(b) \rightarrow Y(b)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all vertices b of B .*

Here $X(b)$ and $Y(b)$ denote the fibers of the maps $X \rightarrow B$ and $Y \rightarrow B$ at the vertex b ; the next proposition shows that $X(b), Y(b) \in \mathbf{Kan}$.

Proposition 1 (Joyal-Lurie) – *If $B \in \mathbf{Kan}$ then $\mathbf{L}(B) = \mathbf{Kan}(B)$.*

Recall the following important examples of left fibrations: if B is a quasi-category and $b \in B$ is a vertex, then the projection $B_{b/} \rightarrow B$ from the upper slice $B_{b/}$ is a left fibration¹². Similarly the projection $B^{b/} \rightarrow B$ from the fat upper slice $B^{b/}$ is a left fibration¹³. There is a canonical comparison map $B_{b/} \rightarrow B^{b/}$; it is a covariant equivalence (in fact a weak categorical equivalence¹⁴, for the identity arrow 1_b is a terminal object of both $B_{b/}$ and $B^{b/}$, from which it follows that $B_{b/} \rightarrow B^{b/}$ is right

¹¹Joyal, 2008, “The theory of quasicategories and its applications”.

¹²Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Corollary 2.1.2.2.

¹³Ibid., Proposition 4.2.1.6.

¹⁴Ibid., Proposition 4.2.1.5.

2. The covariant model structure

cofinal (Definition 1 on p. 150) and hence is a covariant equivalence. In particular it follows¹⁵ that the mapping spaces $\text{Hom}_B^L(a, b)$ and $\text{Hom}_B^R(a, b)$ have the same homotopy type for all objects a and b of B . Recall¹⁶ that $\text{Hom}_B^L(a, b)$ is the space of left morphisms from a to b ; it is defined as the fiber of the left fibration $B_{a/} \rightarrow B$ over the vertex b . Similarly $\text{Hom}_B^R(a, b)$ is the space of right morphisms from a to b ; it is defined as the fiber of the right fibration $B_{/b} \rightarrow B$ over the vertex a .

Let us also note the following consequence of Proposition 2.1.2.5 of Lurie (2009); if B is a quasi-category and $f: a \rightarrow b$ is an edge in B , then the canonical map $B_{f/} \rightarrow B_{b/}$ is a trivial Kan fibration. Choosing a section of this map and composing with the canonical map $B_{f/} \rightarrow B_{a/}$ we obtain a map

$$f_!: B_{b/} \rightarrow B_{a/}$$

in $\mathbf{L}(B)$ which is well defined up to a contractible space of choices. If $\sigma: \Delta[2] \rightarrow B$ is a 2-simplex then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & B_{\sigma(1)/} & \\
 (d_2\sigma)_! \swarrow & & \nwarrow (d_0\sigma)_! \\
 B_{\sigma(0)/} & \xleftarrow{(d_1\sigma)_!} & B_{\sigma(2)/}
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

in $\mathbf{L}(B)$ commutes up to homotopy. Later (Section 6.2 on p. 191) we shall see that in fact this construction extends to define a map $B^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_\Delta \mathbf{L}(B)$ into the homotopy coherent nerve of $\mathbf{L}(B)$.

2.3 Left anodyne maps in \mathbf{S}

In Joyal (2008) and Lurie (2009) it is shown that every left anodyne map in \mathbf{S}/B is a covariant equivalence, where a map in \mathbf{S}/B is said to be left anodyne if the underlying map of simplicial sets is so. Recall that a monomorphism in \mathbf{S} is said to be *left anodyne* if it belongs to the saturated class of monomorphisms generated by the horn inclusions $\Lambda^k[n] \subset \Delta[n]$ for $0 \leq k < n$, $n \geq 1$. For example, the *initial vertex* maps $\delta_n: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$, defined by $\delta_n(0) = 0$, are left anodyne for every $n \geq 1$ (we sometimes denote these maps by $0: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$). More generally, we have the following result due to Joyal¹⁷.

Proposition 2 (Joyal) – *If $S \subset [n-1]$ is non-empty, then the generalized horn inclusion $\Lambda^S[n] \subset \Delta[n]$ is left anodyne.*

¹⁵Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Corollary 4.2.1.8.

¹⁶Ibid., Section 1.2.2.

¹⁷Joyal, 2008, “The theory of quasicategories and its applications”, Proposition 2.12.

Here if $S \subset [n-1]$ then the *generalized horn* $\Lambda^S[n] \subset \Delta[n]$ is defined to be

$$\Lambda^S[n] = \bigcup_{i \notin S} d^i \Delta[n-1],$$

where $d^i: \Delta[n-1] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ denotes the inclusion of the i -th face.

For later use we record the following extremely useful property of left anodyne morphisms due to Joyal¹⁸. If \mathcal{A} is a class of monomorphisms in \mathbf{S} , then we say that \mathcal{A} satisfies the *right cancellation* property if whenever $u: A \rightarrow B$ and $v: B \rightarrow C$ are monomorphisms in \mathbf{S} such that $vu, u \in \mathcal{A}$, then $v \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 3 (Joyal) – *The class of left anodyne maps in \mathbf{S} satisfies the right cancellation property.*

The next proposition gives a useful criterion to decide when a saturated class of monomorphisms in \mathbf{S} contains the left anodyne morphisms. The proof that we give is based on the proof of Lemma 3.7 in Joyal and Tierney (2007), which gives a similar criterion for anodyne morphisms.

Proposition 4 – *Let \mathcal{A} be a saturated class of monomorphisms in \mathbf{S} which satisfies the right cancellation property. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. \mathcal{A} contains the class of left anodyne morphisms;
2. \mathcal{A} contains the initial vertex maps $\delta_n: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ for all $n \geq 1$;
3. \mathcal{A} contains the horn inclusions $h_n^0: \Lambda^0[n] \subset \Delta[n]$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Clearly (1) implies (3). We prove that (2) implies (1). We slavishly follow the ingenious strategy of Joyal and Tierney. We prove that every horn $h_n^k: \Lambda^k[n] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$, $0 \leq k < n$, belongs to \mathcal{A} . More generally, we prove by induction on $n \geq 1$ that every generalized horn $\Lambda^S[n] \subset \Delta[n]$ belongs to \mathcal{A} , where S is a proper, non-empty subset of $[n]$ such that $n \notin S$. Recall that

$$\Lambda^S[n] = \bigcup_{i \notin S} d^i \Delta[n-1].$$

The statement is true when $n = 1$. Assume the statement is true for $n > 1$. Since $\delta_n = d^n \delta_{n-1}$, we see by the right cancellation property of \mathcal{A} that the map $d^n \Delta[n-1] \subset \Delta[n]$ belongs to \mathcal{A} and so it therefore suffices by the right cancellation property again to show that

$$d^n \Delta[n-1] \subset \Lambda^S[n]$$

belongs to \mathcal{A} .

¹⁸Joyal, 2008, “The theory of quascategories and its applications”, Corollary 8.15.

2. The covariant model structure

It suffices to show that $\Lambda^T[n] \subset \Lambda^S[n]$ belongs to \mathcal{A} for any proper non-empty subsets $S \subset T \subset [n]$ such that $n \notin T$ (for then the desired statement follows by taking $T = [n-1]$). Without loss of generality we may suppose that $T = S \cup \{i\}$ where $i \notin S$. Let $U = (d^i)^{-1}T \subset [n-1]$. A calculation, using the fact that the squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [n-2] & \xrightarrow{d^i} & [n-1] \\
 d^{j-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow d^j \\
 [n-1] & \xrightarrow{d^i} & [n]
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

are pullbacks for $i < j$, shows that $(d^i)^{-1}\Lambda^T[n] = \Lambda^U[n-1]$. The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Lambda^U[n] & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^T[n] \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Delta[n-1] & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^S[n]
 \end{array} \tag{3}$$

is a pushout and it therefore suffices to show that $\Lambda^U[n-1] \rightarrow \Delta[n-1]$ is in \mathcal{A} . But $n-1 \notin U$ since $n \notin T$ and $d^i(n-1) = n$; hence $\Lambda^U[n-1] \rightarrow \Delta[n-1]$ belongs to \mathcal{A} by the induction hypothesis.

We prove that (3) implies (2). More generally, we prove by induction on $n \geq 1$ that every initial vertex map $\Delta[0] \rightarrow \Lambda^{\{0, \dots, i\}}[n]$ belongs to \mathcal{A} for all $0 \leq i < n$. Then the desired statement follows by taking $i = 0$ and composing with $h_n^0: \Lambda^0[n] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$. The statement is true when $n = 1$. By the inductive hypothesis the statement is true when $i = n-1$. Since \mathcal{A} is closed under composition it suffices to show that $\Lambda^{\{0, \dots, i\}}[n] \subset \Lambda^{\{0, \dots, i-1\}}[n]$ belongs to \mathcal{A} for all $1 \leq i < n$. Arguing as above, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Lambda^{\{0, \dots, i-1\}}[n-1] & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{\{0, \dots, i\}}[n] \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Delta[n-1] & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{\{0, \dots, i-1\}}[n]
 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

is a pushout. By the inductive assumption and the right cancellation property, we see that the left-hand vertical map belongs to \mathcal{A} , which implies that the right-hand vertical map belongs to \mathcal{A} , as required. \square

In fact, in the proof above it not really necessary that \mathcal{A} is saturated, all we have used is that \mathcal{A} contains all isomorphisms, and is closed under composition and forming pushouts along monomorphisms.

2.4 Covariant equivalences and cofinal maps

Most of the results that we state in this section can be found in the work of Joyal or Lurie, although proofs of some results have not yet been published. An exception is Proposition 5 on p. 154 which to the best of our knowledge is new (although the corresponding result for simplicial categories is well known¹⁹).

First let us recall the concept of a smooth map of simplicial sets (here we follow the terminology of Joyal²⁰ — note that there is a disparity in the terminology of Joyal and Lurie regarding smooth maps). A map $X \rightarrow B$ of simplicial sets is said to be *smooth* if the base-change functor $X \times_B (-): \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/X$ sends left anodyne maps in \mathbf{S}/B to left anodyne maps in \mathbf{S}/X . Dually, $X \rightarrow B$ is said to be *proper* if $X \times_B (-): \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/X$ sends right anodyne maps in \mathbf{S}/B to right anodyne maps in \mathbf{S}/X . It can be shown (Theorem 11.9 of Joyal (2008) or Proposition 4.1.2.14 of Lurie (2009)) that every right fibration is smooth and that every left fibration is proper. If $p: X \rightarrow B$ is a smooth map, then the adjoint pair

$$p^*: \mathbf{S}/B \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/X: p_*$$

is a Quillen adjunction for the covariant model structures on \mathbf{S}/B and \mathbf{S}/X (Theorem 11.2 of Joyal (2008) or Proposition 4.1.2.7 of Lurie (2009)).

With these definitions understood, we have the following very useful characterization of covariant equivalences, due to Joyal²¹. Let $\mathbf{R}(B)$ denote the full subcategory of \mathbf{S}/B spanned by the right fibrations on B .

Theorem 7 (Joyal) – *Let B be a simplicial set. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *the map $X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{S}/B is a covariant equivalence;*
2. *the induced map $R \times_B X \rightarrow R \times_B Y$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all $R \in \mathbf{R}(B)$;*
3. *the induced map $Rb \times_B X \rightarrow Rb \times_B Y$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all $b \in B$, where $1 \rightarrow Rb \rightarrow B$ is any factorization of $b: 1 \rightarrow B$ into a right anodyne map $1 \rightarrow Rb$, followed by a right fibration $Rb \rightarrow B$.*

We give a proof of this theorem below, since to the best of our knowledge one has not yet been given in the literature.

Proof. We prove that (1) implies (2). Let $R \in \mathbf{R}(B)$, then the composite functor $\mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/R \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/1$ is left Quillen, where the functor $R \times_B (-): \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/R$ is pullback along the smooth map $R \rightarrow B$, and where $\mathbf{S}/R \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/1$ is cobase change along the canonical map $R \rightarrow 1$. Therefore, since a left Quillen functor preserves weak equivalences between cofibrant objects, and every object is cofibrant in the covariant

¹⁹Dwyer and Kan, 1987, “Equivalences between homotopy theories of diagrams”.

²⁰Joyal, 2008, “The theory of quasicategories and its applications”, Definition 11.1.

²¹Joyal, 2007, “Notes on quasi-categories”.

2. The covariant model structure

model structure, it follows that $R \times_B X \rightarrow R \times_B Y$ is a covariant equivalence in $\mathbf{S}/1$. But the covariant model structure on $\mathbf{S}/1$ coincides with the Quillen model structure on \mathbf{S} and hence $R \times_B X \rightarrow R \times_B Y$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

It is clear that (2) implies (3). Therefore we need to prove that (3) implies (1). Suppose the hypotheses of (3) are satisfied; we need to show that $X \rightarrow Y$ is a covariant equivalence. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \longrightarrow & Y \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X' & \longrightarrow & Y'
 \end{array} \tag{5}$$

in \mathbf{S}/B , where the maps $X \rightarrow X'$, $Y \rightarrow Y'$ are left anodyne and $X', Y' \in \mathbf{L}(B)$. By the two-out-of-three property it is sufficient to show that $X' \rightarrow Y'$ is a covariant equivalence and hence to show that $X'(b) \rightarrow Y'(b)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all vertices $b \in B$, where $X'(b)$ (respectively $Y'(b)$) denotes the fiber of $X' \rightarrow B$ (respectively $Y' \rightarrow B$) over $b \in B$.

Let $b \in B$ be a vertex and factor the map $b: 1 \rightarrow B$ as $1 \rightarrow Rb \rightarrow B$ where $1 \rightarrow Rb$ is right anodyne and $Rb \rightarrow B$ is a right fibration. In the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Rb \times_B X & \longrightarrow & Rb \times_B Y \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 Rb \times_B X' & \longrightarrow & Rb \times_B Y'
 \end{array} \tag{6}$$

the vertical maps are left anodyne (since $Rb \rightarrow B$ is smooth) and the map $Rb \times_B X \rightarrow Rb \times_B Y$ is a weak homotopy equivalence by hypothesis. Therefore the map $Rb \times_B X' \rightarrow Rb \times_B Y'$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X'(b) & \longrightarrow & Rb \times_B X' & \longrightarrow & X' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & Rb & \longrightarrow & B
 \end{array} \tag{7}$$

in which both squares are pullbacks. Since $Rb \times_B X' \rightarrow Rb$ is proper, the map $X'(b) \rightarrow Rb \times_B X'$ is right anodyne, and hence is a weak homotopy equivalence. Analogously, $Y'(b) \rightarrow Rb \times_B Y'$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. It follows that $X'(b) \rightarrow Y'(b)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. \square

We now discuss cofinal maps of simplicial sets. We use the following definition from Lurie (2015).

Definition 1 – A map $u: A \rightarrow B$ of simplicial sets is said to be *left cofinal* if it admits a factorization $u = pi$, where $i: A \rightarrow B'$ is right anodyne and $p: B' \rightarrow B$ is a trivial Kan fibration. Dually, we say that $u: A \rightarrow B$ is *right cofinal* if the opposite map $u^{\text{op}}: A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow B^{\text{op}}$ is left cofinal.

Thus a map $u: A \rightarrow B$ of simplicial sets is left cofinal if it is *cofinal* in the sense of Definition 4.1.1.1 of Lurie (2009) (in the terminology of Joyal such a map is said to be *final*). Note that $u: A \rightarrow B$ is right cofinal if and only if it admits a factorization $u = pi$, where i is left anodyne and p is a trivial Kan fibration.

For later use we record the statements of some elementary results on cofinal maps due to Joyal and Lurie.

Lemma 1 (Lurie (2009)) – *A monomorphism $i: A \rightarrow B$ is right cofinal if and only if i is left anodyne.*

Lemma 2 (Joyal) – *The base change of a right cofinal map along a right fibration is right cofinal.*

Proof. This is clear, since left anodyne maps and trivial fibrations are preserved under base change by right fibrations. \square

Lemma 3 (Lurie (2009)) – *If $u: A \rightarrow B$ is a left fibration which is also right cofinal, then u is a trivial Kan fibration.*

Lemma 4 (Lurie (2009)) – *A map $u: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{S} is right cofinal if and only if it is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B .*

It follows easily from this result that right cofinal maps satisfy the right cancellation property; in other words if $u: A \rightarrow B$, $v: B \rightarrow C$ are maps such that u and vu are right cofinal, then so is v ²².

We shall also make use of the following related result: if B is a simplicial set, then a map $X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{L}(B)$ is a covariant equivalence if and only if it is right cofinal. For if such a map is a covariant equivalence then it clearly factors as a left anodyne map followed by a trivial Kan fibration. The converse is clear.

Lemma 5 – *Right cofinal maps in \mathbf{S} are stable under filtered colimits.*

Proof. Suppose $u: A \rightarrow B$ is a filtered colimit of a family of right cofinal maps $u_\alpha: A_\alpha \rightarrow B_\alpha$. Then each map $u_\alpha: A_\alpha \rightarrow B_\alpha$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B_α . Hence $u: A \rightarrow B$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B , since covariant equivalences are stable under filtered colimits. Factor $u: A \rightarrow B$ as $u = pi$ where $i: A \rightarrow B'$ is left anodyne and $p: B' \rightarrow B$ is a left fibration. Then, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4 above, we see that p is a trivial Kan fibration. Hence $u: A \rightarrow B$ is right cofinal. \square

²²See Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Proposition 4.1.1.3.

2. The covariant model structure

The following theorem, due to Joyal²³, gives a very useful criterion to recognize when a map of simplicial sets is cofinal.

Theorem 8 (Joyal) – *The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *The map $u: A \rightarrow B$ is right cofinal;*
2. *The induced map $R \times_B A \rightarrow R$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all $R \in \mathbf{R}(B)$;*
3. *The simplicial set $Rb \times_B A$ is weakly contractible for every $b \in B$, where $1 \rightarrow Rb \rightarrow B$ is any factorization of $b: 1 \rightarrow B$ into a right anodyne map $1 \rightarrow Rb$, followed by a right fibration $Rb \rightarrow B$.*

Proof. The theorem follows immediately from Lemma 4 on the preceding page and Theorem 7 on p. 148. \square

As a direct corollary of Theorem 8, we obtain the following key result: Quillen's Theorem A for quasi-categories, due to Joyal and Lurie²⁴.

Theorem 9 (Joyal-Lurie) – *If B is a quasi-category, then a map $u: A \rightarrow B$ is right cofinal if and only if the simplicial set $A \times_B B/b$ is weakly contractible for every vertex $b \in B$.*

The next result is obtained as an easy corollary²⁵ of the Straightening Theorem in Lurie (2009). In the absence of the latter theorem it is not so easy to prove. In Heuts and Moerdijk (2015) a proof is given by these authors using their Theorem C. We give here another proof which only depends on the standard results in the theory of covariant and contravariant model structures presented in this section.

Theorem 10 (Lurie (2009)) – *Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a weak categorical equivalence between simplicial sets. Then the Quillen adjunction*

$$f_! : \mathbf{S}/A \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B : f^*$$

is a Quillen equivalence for the covariant model structures on \mathbf{S}/A and \mathbf{S}/B .

Proof. We begin by proving this theorem in the special case that the map f is the inclusion $I_n \subset \Delta[n]$ of the n -chain

$$I_n := \Delta^{\{0,1\}} \cup \dots \cup \Delta^{\{n-1,n\}}$$

into $\Delta[n]$. Let us write i_n for this inclusion. Suppose that $X \in \mathbf{L}(\Delta[n])$ with structure map $p: X \rightarrow \Delta[n]$. We prove that the canonical map $I_n \times_{\Delta[n]} X \rightarrow X$ is left anodyne, from which it follows that $(i_n^*)^R$ is fully faithful. Using Theorem 8 on the previous

²³Joyal, 2007, "Notes on quasi-categories", (8.1).

²⁴Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Theorem 4.1.3.1.

²⁵Ibid., Remark 2.1.4.11.

page, it suffices to prove that for every vertex $y \in X$, the canonical map $I_n \times_{\Delta[n]} X_{/y} \rightarrow X_{/y}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. In particular, it suffices to prove that this canonical map is right anodyne, since a right anodyne map is a weak homotopy equivalence. Therefore, applying Theorem 8 on the previous page again, we see that it suffices to prove that the simplicial set $I_n \times_{\Delta[n]} X_{x//y}$ is weakly contractible, for every vertex $u: x \rightarrow y$ of $X_{/y}$, and where we have written $X_{x//y} = (X_{/y})_{u/}$ for ease of notation.

We claim that the simplicial sets $\Delta^{[i]} \times_{\Delta[n]} X_{x//y}$ and $\Delta^{[i,i+1]} \times_{\Delta[n]} X_{x//y}$ are either empty (if $p(x) > i$ or $p(y) < i$ and $p(x) > i + 1$ or $p(y) < i$ respectively) or contractible Kan complexes. This is enough to prove the claim that $I_n \times_{\Delta[n]} X_{x//y}$ is weakly contractible.

The statement regarding the conditions under which each of these simplicial sets are empty is clear. We prove that $\Delta^{[i,i+1]} \times_{\Delta[n]} X_{x//y}$ is a contractible Kan complex if $p(x) \leq i \leq p(y)$. The proof that $\Delta^{[i]} \times_{\Delta[n]} X_{x//y}$ is a contractible Kan complex if $p(x) \leq i \leq p(y)$ is analogous and is left to the reader. We observe that $X_{x//y} \simeq (X_{\Delta^{[p(x), \dots, p(y)]}})_{x//y}$ where if $S \subset \Delta[n]$ is a subcomplex then we write $X_S = S \times_{\Delta[n]} X$. Thus we have an isomorphism

$$\Delta^{[i,i+1]} \times_{\Delta[n]} X_{x//y} \simeq \Delta^{[i,i+1]} \times_{\Delta^{[p(x), \dots, p(y)]}} (X_{\Delta^{[p(x), \dots, p(y)]}})_{x//y}.$$

It follows that we may suppose without loss of generality that $p(x) = 0$ and $p(y) = n$.

We prove that any map $\partial\Delta[m] \rightarrow \Delta^{[i,i+1]} \times_{\Delta[n]} X_{x//y}$ extends along the inclusion $\partial\Delta[m] \subset \Delta[m]$. Given such a map, the induced map $\partial\Delta[m] \rightarrow \Delta^{[i,i+1]}$ factors through $\Delta[m]$ so that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta[m] & \longrightarrow & \Delta^{[i,i+1]} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \Delta[m] & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ \Delta[0] \star \Delta[m] & \longrightarrow & \Delta[n] \end{array} \quad (8)$$

The canonical map $\partial\Delta[m] \rightarrow X_{x//y}$ induces a map $\Lambda^0[m+1] \rightarrow X_{/y}$ which forms part of a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda^0[m+1] & \longrightarrow & X_{/y} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow p \\ \Delta[m+1] & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & \Delta[n] \end{array} \quad (9)$$

where $X_{/y} \rightarrow X$ is the canonical projection. Since $p: X \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ is a left fibration we may choose a diagonal filler $\Delta[m+1] \rightarrow X$ for this diagram so that we have a

2. The covariant model structure

commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Lambda^0[m+1] & \longrightarrow & X/y \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Delta[m+1] & \longrightarrow & X
 \end{array} \tag{10}$$

Since $p(y) = n$ we have an isomorphism $X \simeq X \times_{\Delta[n]} \Delta[n]_{/p(y)}$ and so it follows that the right hand vertical map in this commutative square is a left fibration²⁶. Hence there exists a diagonal filler for this diagram which induces a map $\Delta[m] \rightarrow \Delta^{\{i,i+1\}} \times_{\Delta[n]} X_{x/y}$ extending the given map $\partial\Delta[m] \rightarrow \Delta^{\{i,i+1\}} \times_{\Delta[n]} X_{x/y}$. This completes the proof that $I_n \times_{\Delta[n]} X \rightarrow X$ is left anodyne.

Suppose now that $X \rightarrow Y$ is a map in \mathbf{S}/I_n such that $(i_n)_! X \rightarrow (i_n)_! Y$ is a covariant equivalence in $\mathbf{S}/\Delta[n]$. We prove that $X \rightarrow Y$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/I_n . By Theorem 7 on p. 148, since $\Delta[n]_i = \Delta[i]$,

$$(i_n)_! X \times_{\Delta[n]} \Delta[i] \rightarrow (i_n)_! Y \times_{\Delta[n]} \Delta[i]$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. In other words

$$X \times_{I_n} i_n^* \Delta[i] \rightarrow Y \times_{I_n} i_n^* \Delta[i]$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. The map

$$\{i\} \rightarrow i_n^* \Delta[i] = \Delta^{\{0,1\}} \cup \dots \cup \Delta^{\{i-1,i\}}$$

is clearly right anodyne for every $0 \leq i \leq n$. It follows from Theorem 7 on p. 148 again that $X \rightarrow Y$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/I_n . Hence $((i_n)_!, i_n^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence and so $(i_n^*)^R$ is essentially surjective.

Next we show that $(u_!, u^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence for every inner-anodyne map $u: A \rightarrow B$. To this end, let \mathcal{A} denote the class of monomorphisms $u: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{S} such that $u_! u^* X \rightarrow X$ is left anodyne for every $X \in \mathbf{L}(B)$ and $(u^*)^R$ is essentially surjective. It is straightforward to see that \mathcal{A} contains all isomorphisms, and is closed under retracts, coproducts and transfinite composition. To show that it is also closed under pushouts requires a little more work. Suppose that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \longrightarrow & C \\
 u \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\
 B & \longrightarrow & D
 \end{array} \tag{11}$$

is a pushout diagram, where $u \in \mathcal{A}$. We first show that $v_! v^* X \rightarrow X$ is left anodyne. Let $X \in \mathbf{L}(D)$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X|_A & \longrightarrow & X|_C \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X|_B & \longrightarrow & X
 \end{array} \tag{12}$$

is a pushout, and the left hand vertical map is left anodyne by hypothesis. It follows that v is also left anodyne. To prove that $(v^*)^R$ is essentially surjective it suffices to show that if $X \in \mathbf{L}(C)$, then we may find $Y \in \mathbf{L}(B)$ such that $X|_A = u^*Y$. For this we may adapt an argument of Joyal²⁷ replacing minimal Kan fibrations with minimal left fibrations; for the details we refer to the proof of Lemma 7.2 in Heuts and Moerdijk (2015). It follows that \mathcal{A} is saturated.

We show that \mathcal{A} satisfies the right cancellation property. Suppose that $u: A \rightarrow B$ and $v: B \rightarrow C$ are monomorphisms such that u and vu belong to \mathcal{A} . It is straightforward, using the right cancellation property for left anodyne maps, to see that $v_!v^*Z \rightarrow Z$ is left anodyne for all $Z \in \mathbf{L}(C)$. Let $X \in \mathbf{L}(B)$. Then there exists $Y \in \mathbf{L}(C)$ together with a covariant equivalence $u^*v^*Y \rightarrow u^*X$ since $(u^*v^*)^R$ is essentially surjective. Note that $u^*v^*Y \rightarrow u^*X$ is right cofinal. We may find a map $v^*Y \rightarrow X$ so that the diagram of simplicial sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u^*v^*Y & \longrightarrow & u^*X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ v^*Y & \longrightarrow & X \end{array} \quad (13)$$

commutes. Note that the vertical maps in this diagram are left anodyne. It follows that $v^*Y \rightarrow X$ is right cofinal (right cofinal maps satisfy the right cancellation property — see the remarks following Lemma 4 on p. 150) and hence is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B . Therefore $(v^*)^R$ is essentially surjective.

Since \mathcal{A} contains the inclusions $I_n \subset \Delta[n]$ for all $n \geq 2$, it follows by Lemma 3.5 of Joyal and Tierney (2007) that \mathcal{A} contains all the inner anodyne maps. It follows that $(u_!, u^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence for every inner anodyne map $u: A \rightarrow B$. A standard argument²⁸ now shows that $(u_!, u^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence for every weak categorical equivalence $u: A \rightarrow B$ between simplicial sets A and B . \square

A map $u: A \rightarrow B$ of simplicial sets is said to be fully faithful if a fibrant replacement $Ru: RA \rightarrow RB$ of u is a fully faithful map between quasi-categories. Likewise we say that a map $u: A \rightarrow B$ of simplicial sets is essentially surjective if $\tau_1(A) \rightarrow \tau_1(B)$ is essentially surjective. In analogy with 1.3 (iii) of Dwyer and Kan (1987), let us say that a map $u: A \rightarrow B$ between simplicial sets is a *weak r -equivalence* if it is fully faithful, and if every object in $\tau_1(B)$ is a retract of an object in the image of $\tau_1(A)$. With this definition understood, we have the following analogue of Theorem 2.1 of Dwyer and Kan (1987).

²⁶Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Proposition 2.1.2.1.

²⁷See Kapulkin, LeFanu, and Voevodsky, 2012, *The simplicial model of univalent foundations*, proof of Lemma 2.2.4.

²⁸See Heuts and Moerdijk, 2015, “Left fibrations and homotopy colimits”, Lemma 7.1.

2. The covariant model structure

Proposition 5 – *Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a map of simplicial sets. Then u is a weak r -equivalence if and only if the Quillen adjunction*

$$u_! : \mathbf{S}/A \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B : u^*$$

is a Quillen equivalence for the respective covariant model structures.

Note that the dual version of this proposition, with the covariant model structure replaced by the contravariant model structure, is also true. Note also that this result is similar to the well known fact in ordinary category theory that idempotent completion does not change the category of presheaves.

Proof. First observe that we may suppose without loss of generality that A and B are quasi-categories. We shall show first that $(u_!)^L$ is fully faithful if and only if u is fully faithful. Let $a \in A$ be a vertex. If $(u_!)^L$ is fully faithful then $A_{a/} \rightarrow A \times_B B_{u(a)/}$ is a covariant equivalence in $\mathbf{L}(A)$, since $u_! A_{a/} \rightarrow B_{u(a)/}$ is left anodyne by the right cancellation property of left anodyne maps (Proposition 3 on p. 146). It follows easily that u is fully faithful. We leave the converse as an exercise for the reader. Note that, using the fact that $\text{Hom}_S^L(x, y)$ and $\text{Hom}_S^R(x, y)$ are homotopy equivalent for any quasi-category S (see the remarks above in Section 2.2 on p. 143), it follows that u is fully faithful if and only if $(u_!)^L$ is fully faithful for the covariant model structures, if and only if $(u_!)^L$ is fully faithful for the contravariant model structures.

Suppose now that $(u_!, u^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence. We show that every object in $\tau_1(B)$ is a retract of an object in the image of $\tau_1(A)$. Let $b \in B$ be a vertex. Since $(u^*)^R$ is fully faithful, the map $A \times_B B_{b/} \rightarrow B_{b/}$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B . Therefore, by Theorem 7 on p. 148, the simplicial set $A \times_B (B_{b/})_{/1_b}$ is weakly contractible; in particular it is non-empty. Therefore there is a vertex $a \in A$ and a 2-simplex

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & u(a) & \\
 f \nearrow & & \searrow g \\
 b & \xrightarrow{1_b} & b
 \end{array} \tag{14}$$

in B . This exhibits b as a retract of $u(a)$ in $\tau_1(A)$.

Suppose now that u is fully faithful and every object of $\tau_1(B)$ is a retract of an object in the image of $\tau_1(A)$. To show that $(u_!, u^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence we need to show that $(u^*)^R$ is fully faithful. We will show that $A \times_B B_{b/} \rightarrow B_{b/}$ is left cofinal for every vertex $b \in B$. This suffices to complete the proof for then $X \times_B A \times_B B_{b/} \rightarrow X \times_B B_{b/}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for every vertex $b \in B$ and every $X \in \mathbf{L}(B)$ by Theorem 8 on p. 151; hence $u_! u^* X \rightarrow X$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B by Theorem 7 on p. 148.

Choose a 2-simplex $\sigma: \Delta[2] \rightarrow B$ as above. One shows easily that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & B_{/u(a)} & \\
 f_! \nearrow & & \searrow g_! \\
 B_{/b} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & B_{/b}
 \end{array} \tag{15}$$

in $\mathbf{R}(B)$ commutes up to homotopy (compare with the remarks at the end of Section 2.2 on p. 143). Choose a homotopy $H: \Delta[1] \times B_{/b} \rightarrow B_{/b}$ from $g_! f_!$ to id . Choose a factorization $B_{/u(a)} \rightarrow Y \rightarrow B_{/b}$ as a right anodyne map followed by a right fibration. Since $\{1\} \times B_{/b} \rightarrow \Delta[1] \times B_{/b}$ is right anodyne we may choose a diagonal filler $\Delta[1] \times B_{/b} \rightarrow Y$ as indicated in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \{1\} \times B_{/b} & \longrightarrow & Y \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Delta[1] \times B_{/b} & \longrightarrow & B_{/b}.
 \end{array} \tag{16}$$

Then $B_{/u(a)} \rightarrow Y$ is a right anodyne map in $\mathbf{R}(B)$ and the composite map $\{0\} \times B_{/b} \rightarrow \Delta[1] \times B_{/b} \rightarrow Y$ gives a retract diagram $B_{/b} \rightarrow Y \rightarrow B_{/b}$ in $\mathbf{R}(B)$. We obtain an induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A \times_B B_{/b} & \longrightarrow & A \times_B Y & \longrightarrow & A \times_B B_{/b} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B_{/b} & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & B_{/b}
 \end{array} \tag{17}$$

exhibiting $A \times_B B_{/b} \rightarrow B_{/b}$ as a retract of $A \times_B Y \rightarrow Y$. Therefore it suffices to prove that $A \times_B Y \rightarrow Y$ is left cofinal. The induced map $A \times_B B_{/u(a)} \rightarrow A \times_B Y$ is left cofinal, since it is a contravariant equivalence in $\mathbf{R}(A)$, on account of the fact that $B_{/u(a)} \rightarrow Y$ is a right anodyne map in $\mathbf{R}(B)$. Similarly, the right cancellation property of left cofinal maps, and the fact that $(u_!)^L$ is fully faithful (for the *contravariant* model structure), shows that the canonical map $A \times_B B_{/u(a)} \rightarrow B_{/u(a)}$ is left cofinal. The commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times_B B_{/u(a)} & \longrightarrow & A \times_B Y \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B_{/u(a)} & \longrightarrow & Y
 \end{array} \tag{18}$$

and the right cancellation property of left cofinal maps shows that $A \times_B Y \rightarrow Y$ is left cofinal, which completes the proof. \square

3 Bisimplicial sets

3.1 The category of bisimplicial sets

Let us write \mathbf{SS} for the category of bisimplicial sets, that is, \mathbf{SS} is the functor category $[\Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]$. If $X \in \mathbf{SS}$ then we say that $X_{m,n} := X([m], [n])$ has *horizontal* degree m and *vertical* degree n . The m -th column of X is the simplicial set X_{m*} whose set of n -simplices is $(X_{m*})_n := X_{m,n}$. The n -th row of X is the simplicial set X_{*n} whose set of m -simplices is $(X_{*n})_m := X_{m,n}$. A map $X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{SS} is called a *row-wise* weak homotopy equivalence if the maps $X_{*n} \rightarrow Y_{*n}$ are weak homotopy equivalences for all $n \geq 0$; it is called a *column-wise* weak homotopy equivalence if the maps $X_{m*} \rightarrow Y_{m*}$ are weak homotopy equivalences for all $m \geq 0$.

Recall that there is a canonical functor

$$(-) \square (-): \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{SS}$$

which sends a pair of simplicial sets K, L to their *box product* $K \square L$. This is the bisimplicial set whose set of (m, n) -bisimplices is the set $K_m \times L_n$. Equivalently, $K \square L = p_1^* K \times p_2^* L$, where $p_1^*, p_2^*: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{SS}$ are the functors induced by restriction along the two projections $p_1, p_2: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ respectively. The bisimplicial set $\partial \Delta[m, n]$ is defined in terms of the box product as

$$\partial \Delta[m, n] = \partial \Delta[m] \square \Delta[n] \cup \Delta[m] \square \partial \Delta[n].$$

We recall the following fact from Joyal and Tierney (2007).

Proposition 6 (Joyal-Tierney) – *The inclusions $\partial \Delta[m, n] \subset \Delta[m, n]$ for $m, n \geq 0$ generate the monomorphisms in \mathbf{SS} as a saturated class.*

Write $\delta: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta \times \Delta$ for the diagonal inclusion, so that $\delta([n]) = ([n], [n])$ for $[n] \in \Delta$. Restriction along δ is the functor $d := \delta^*: \mathbf{SS} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ which sends a bisimplicial set X to its *diagonal* dX . Recall that d has a left adjoint $\delta_!$ and a right adjoint $d_* := \delta_*$. The following result, due to Joyal and Tierney, is a direct consequence of Proposition B.0.17 of Joyal (2008).

Proposition 7 (Joyal-Tierney) – *The functor $\delta_!: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{SS}$ sends monomorphisms of simplicial sets to monomorphisms of bisimplicial sets.*

As an immediate corollary, using the fact that the Reedy model structure and injective model structure coincide on bisimplicial sets, we have the following useful result.

Corollary 1 – *The diagonal functor $d: \mathbf{SS} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ sends Reedy trivial fibrations in \mathbf{SS} to trivial Kan fibrations in \mathbf{S} .*

Finally, let us recall²⁹ that for fixed simplicial sets K, L , the functors $K\Box(-): \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{SS}$ and $(-)\Box L: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{SS}$ have right adjoints $K\backslash(-): \mathbf{SS} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ and $(-)/L: \mathbf{SS} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ respectively.

3.2 Simplicial enrichments

A *simplicial space* is a simplicial object in \mathbf{S} . Therefore, each bisimplicial set X may be regarded as a simplicial space in two different ways. On the one hand we may regard X as a *horizontal* simplicial object in \mathbf{S} whose m -th *column* is the simplicial set X_{m*} . On the other hand we may regard X as a *vertical* simplicial object in \mathbf{S} whose n -th *row* is the simplicial set X_{*n} .

Corresponding to the canonical simplicial enrichment of \mathbf{S} , there are two simplicial enrichments of \mathbf{SS} depending on whether we view bisimplicial sets as horizontal or vertical simplicial objects in \mathbf{S} .

Fortunately, in this paper we will only have need to consider one of these simplicial enrichments, the *horizontal* simplicial enrichment, which is the natural enrichment when bisimplicial sets are viewed as horizontal simplicial spaces. The tensor for this enrichment is defined to be $X \otimes K = X \times p_2^* K$ for $X \in \mathbf{SS}$ and $K \in \mathbf{S}$. The simplicial mapping space is defined by the formula

$$\text{map}(X, Y) = (p_2)_* Y^X,$$

for $X, Y \in \mathbf{SS}$, where Y^X denotes the exponential in the cartesian closed category \mathbf{SS} and $(p_2)_*: \mathbf{SS} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ is the functor which sends a bisimplicial set Z to its first column Z_{0*} . If $X \in \mathbf{SS}$ and $K \in \mathbf{S}$ then we write X^K for their cotensor.

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward and is left to the reader.

Lemma 6 – *Let K be a simplicial set. Then there is an isomorphism of simplicial sets*

$$\text{map}(K\Box L, X) \simeq \text{map}(L, K\backslash Y),$$

natural in $L \in \mathbf{S}$ and $Y \in \mathbf{SS}$. In other words the adjunction $K\Box(-) \dashv K\backslash(-)$ above is a simplicial adjunction for the horizontal simplicial enrichment.

Suppose now that $B \in \mathbf{SS}$. Then the horizontal simplicial enrichment on \mathbf{SS} induces a canonical simplicial enrichment on the slice category \mathbf{SS}/B , which we will sometimes refer to as the horizontal simplicial enrichment on \mathbf{SS}/B .

If $X \in \mathbf{SS}/B$ and $K \in \mathbf{S}$, then the tensor $X \otimes K$ can be naturally regarded as an object of \mathbf{SS}/B via the canonical map $X \otimes K \rightarrow X \rightarrow B$. If $X, Y \in \mathbf{SS}/B$, then the simplicial mapping space, $\text{map}_B(X, Y)$, is defined to be the fiber

$$\text{map}_B(X, Y) = \text{map}(X, Y) \times_{\text{map}(X, B)} 1$$

²⁹See for instance Joyal and Tierney, 2007, “Quasi-categories vs Segal spaces”.

3. Bisimplicial sets

where the canonical map $X \rightarrow B$ is regarded as a vertex $1 \rightarrow \text{map}(X, B)$ of $\text{map}(X, B)$. Similarly we define the cotensor, $\text{map}_B(K, X)$, for $X \in \mathbf{SS}/B$, $K \in \mathbf{S}$ to be

$$\text{map}_B(K, X) = X^K \times_{B^K} B,$$

where $B \rightarrow B^K$ is the conjugate of the canonical map $B \otimes K \rightarrow B$. With these definitions we have the sequence of isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{SS}/B(X \otimes K, Y) \simeq \mathbf{S}(K, \text{map}_B(X, Y)) \simeq \mathbf{SS}/B(X, \text{map}_B(K, Y))$$

natural in $X, Y \in \mathbf{SS}/B$ and $K \in \mathbf{S}$.

3.3 The projective model structure on \mathbf{SS}

Let us identify the category \mathbf{SS} with the category $s(\mathbf{S})$ of horizontal simplicial spaces, so that $\mathbf{SS} = s(\mathbf{S})$ is simplicially enriched with respect to the horizontal simplicial enrichment.

Recall that the (horizontal) *projective model structure* on \mathbf{SS} has as its weak equivalences the maps $X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{SS} which are column-wise weak homotopy equivalences, in other words $X_{m*} \rightarrow Y_{m*}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all $m \geq 0$. The fibrations in this model structure are the column-wise Kan fibrations $X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{SS} , i.e. $X_{m*} \rightarrow Y_{m*}$ is a Kan fibration for all $m \geq 0$. Recall that the horizontal projective model structure is simplicial for the horizontal simplicial enrichment on \mathbf{SS} .

Let B be a simplicial set. Then the (horizontal) projective model structure on \mathbf{SS} induces a model structure on the slice category $\mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$. When there is no danger of confusion we will refer to this overcategory model structure as the (horizontal) projective model structure on $\mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$. The weak equivalences (respectively fibrations) in this model structure are the maps $X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$ such that the underlying map is a column-wise weak homotopy equivalence (respectively Kan fibration) in \mathbf{SS} . The projective model structure on $\mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$ is simplicial with respect to the simplicial enrichment on $\mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$ induced by the horizontal simplicial enrichment on \mathbf{SS} .

The category $s(\mathbf{S}/B)$ of (vertical) simplicial objects in \mathbf{S}/B may be identified with the category $\mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$. There is a canonical pair of adjoint functors

$$d: \mathbf{SS}/B \square 1 \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B: d_*$$

defined as follows. The functor d sends an object $X \in \mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$ to its diagonal simplicial set dX . Note that since $d(K \square L) = K \times L$ for all $K, L \in \mathbf{S}$, the simplicial set dX is equipped with a canonical map $dX \rightarrow B$ and hence can be regarded as an object of \mathbf{S}/B . The functor $d_*: \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$ is the functor which sends an object X of \mathbf{S}/B to the vertical simplicial object of $s\mathbf{S}/B$ whose n -th row is

$$(d_*X)_n = (d_*X)_{*n} := X^{\Delta[n]}.$$

Note that the functors d and d_* are simplicial with respect to the horizontal simplicial enrichment of $\mathbf{SS}/B\Box 1$. The following lemma is well known³⁰.

Lemma 7 – *Let $X \in \mathbf{L}(B)$. Then d_*X is Reedy fibrant for the (vertical) Reedy model structure on $s(\mathbf{S}/B) = \mathbf{SS}/B\Box 1$ associated to the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B .*

Alternatively, we may consider d_*X as a horizontal simplicial space.

Lemma 8 – *Let $X \in \mathbf{L}(B)$. Then d_*X is projectively fibrant for the horizontal projective model structure on $\mathbf{SS}/B\Box 1$. Moreover, the maps*

$$(d_*X)_{m*} \rightarrow (d_*X)_{0*} \times_{B_0} B_m$$

induced by the initial vertex maps $\delta_m: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[m]$ are weak homotopy equivalences for all $m \geq 0$.

Proof. We prove the first statement. Recall that d_*X is the vertical simplicial object in \mathbf{S}/B whose n -th row is $X^{\Delta[n]} \in \mathbf{S}/B$. It follows then that the m -th column $(d_*X)_{m*}$ fits into a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (d_*X)_{m*} & \longrightarrow & \text{map}(\Delta[m], X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_m & \longrightarrow & \text{map}(\Delta[m], B) \end{array} \tag{19}$$

where $B_m \rightarrow \text{map}(\Delta[m], B)$ is the inclusion of the set of vertices of $\text{map}(\Delta[m], B)$. Since $\text{map}(\Delta[m], X) \rightarrow \text{map}(\Delta[m], B)$ is a left fibration it follows that $(d_*X)_{m*} \rightarrow B_m$ is a Kan fibration, as B_m is discrete. Therefore d_*X is fibrant in the horizontal projective model structure on $\mathbf{SS}/B\Box 1$.

We prove the second statement. It follows from the discussion in the preceding paragraph that the maps in question are the maps

$$\text{map}(\Delta[m], X) \times_{\text{map}(\Delta[m], B)} B_m \rightarrow X \times_B B_m,$$

induced by the initial vertex maps $\delta_m: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[m]$. Thus these maps are pullbacks of the maps

$$\text{map}(\Delta[m], X) \rightarrow X \times_B \text{map}(\Delta[m], B)$$

induced by the initial vertex maps $\delta_m: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[m]$. Since these initial vertex maps are left anodyne and $X \in \mathbf{L}(B)$, it follows that the latter maps are trivial Kan fibrations³¹. Hence the maps above are trivial Kan fibrations, in particular they are weak homotopy equivalences. \square

³⁰See for instance Goerss and Jardine, 1999, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, VII Lemma 3.4.

³¹Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Corollary 2.1.2.9.

3. Bisimplicial sets

Proposition 8 – *The adjunction $d: \mathbf{SS}/B\Box 1 \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B$; d_* is a Quillen adjunction for the horizontal projective model structure on $\mathbf{SS}/B\Box 1$ and the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B .*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $d_*: \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{SS}/B\Box 1$ sends fibrations between fibrant objects to projective fibrations, and trivial fibrations to trivial projective fibrations. Suppose $X \rightarrow Y$ is a covariant fibration in $\mathbf{L}(B)$, so that $X \rightarrow Y$ is a left fibration. From the proof of the previous lemma it follows that $(d_*X)_{m*} \rightarrow (d_*Y)_{m*}$ is a left fibration in $\mathbf{L}(B_m)$ for every $m \geq 0$. Hence it is a left fibration between Kan complexes and hence is a Kan fibration. The proof that d_* sends trivial fibrations in \mathbf{S}/B to trivial projective fibrations is analogous. \square

Lemma 9 – *Suppose that $X \rightarrow Y$ is a map in $\mathbf{SS}/B\Box 1$ such that $X_{*n} \rightarrow Y_{*n}$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B for all $n \geq 0$. Then $dX \rightarrow dY$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B .*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that every object of $\mathbf{SS}/B\Box 1$ is Reedy cofibrant for the (vertical) Reedy model structure on $\mathbf{SS}/B\Box 1 = s(\mathbf{S}/B)$ associated to the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B . Let \mathcal{A} be the class of monomorphisms in $\mathbf{SS}/B\Box 1$ which have the left lifting property (LLP) against all Reedy trivial fibrations. Then \mathcal{A} is saturated, and clearly contains all of the canonical inclusions $\partial\Delta[m, n] \subset \Delta[m, n]$ in $\mathbf{SS}/B\Box 1$. But then \mathcal{A} contains all monomorphisms in $\mathbf{SS}/B\Box 1$ by Joyal and Tierney (2007, Proposition 2.2). \square

3.4 Left fibrations of bisimplicial sets

Definition 2 – A map $p: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{SS} is said to be a horizontal *Reedy left fibration* if it has the RLP against all maps of the form

$$\partial\Delta[m]\Box\Delta[n] \cup \Delta[m]\Box\Lambda^k[n] \subset \Delta[m, n]$$

for $m \geq 0$, $0 \leq k < n$, $n \geq 1$. A horizontal Reedy left fibration $p: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *strong* if in addition the maps

$$X_{n*} \rightarrow X_{0*} \times_{Y_{0*}} Y_{n*}$$

induced by $0: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ are trivial Kan fibrations for every $n \geq 0$.

The following observations are clear.

Lemma 10 – *Horizontal Reedy left fibrations and strong horizontal Reedy left fibrations are stable under base change.*

Lemma 11 – *Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a horizontal Reedy left fibration in \mathbf{SS} and let $A \rightarrow B$ be a monomorphism in \mathbf{S} . Then the induced map*

$$B \setminus X \rightarrow A \setminus X \times_{A \setminus Y} B \setminus Y$$

is a left fibration.

In particular $X_{m*} \rightarrow Y_{m*}$ is a left fibration for all $m \geq 0$.

Lemma 12 – *Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a horizontal Reedy left fibration in \mathbf{SS} and let $A \rightarrow B$ be a left anodyne map in \mathbf{S} . Then the induced map*

$$X/B \rightarrow X/A \times_{Y/A} Y/B$$

is a trivial Kan fibration.

The following lemma gives a useful way to recognize strong horizontal Reedy left fibrations.

Lemma 13 – *Let B be a simplicial set. Suppose that $X \rightarrow B \square 1$ is a horizontal Reedy left fibration such that the maps*

$$X_{n*} \rightarrow X_{0*} \times_{B_0} B_n$$

induced by the initial vertex maps $0: [0] \rightarrow [n]$ are weak homotopy equivalences for all $n \geq 0$. Then $X \rightarrow B \square 1$ is a strong horizontal Reedy left fibration.

Proof. We need to show that the induced maps $X_{n*} \rightarrow X_{0*} \times_{B_0} B_n$ are trivial Kan fibrations for all $n \geq 0$. But $X \rightarrow B \square 1$ is a horizontal Reedy left fibration, and hence the map above is a left fibration (Lemma 11 on the previous page). Now $X_{0*} \rightarrow B_0$ is also a left fibration (Lemma 11 on the previous page again), and hence X_{0*} is a Kan complex since B_0 is discrete. Therefore $B_n \times_{B_0} X_{0*}$ is a Kan complex and hence $X_{n*} \rightarrow B_n \times_{B_0} X_{0*}$ is a Kan fibration. Therefore it is a trivial Kan fibration; in other words, $X \rightarrow B \square 1$ is a strong horizontal Reedy left fibration. \square

Definition 3 – A monomorphism $i: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{SS} is said to be (*horizontal*) *left anodyne* if it belongs to the saturated class of monomorphisms generated by the maps of the form

$$\partial\Delta[m] \square \Delta[n] \cup \Delta[m] \square \Lambda^k[n] \subset \Delta[m, n]$$

for $m \geq 0, 0 \leq k < n, n \geq 1$.

Definition 4 – We will say that a map $i: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{SS} is *column-wise left anodyne* if $i_m: A_{m*} \rightarrow B_{m*}$ is a left anodyne map in \mathbf{S} for all $m \geq 0$.

It is easy to see that if $K \rightarrow L$ is a left anodyne map in \mathbf{S} then the induced map $J \square K \rightarrow J \square L$ in \mathbf{SS} is column-wise left anodyne for any simplicial set J . Observe that column-wise left anodyne maps in \mathbf{SS} form a saturated class of monomorphisms.

Lemma 14 – *Suppose that $i: A \rightarrow B$ is a horizontal left anodyne map in \mathbf{SS} . Then i is column-wise left anodyne.*

3. Bisimplicial sets

Proof. For any $m \geq 0$ and $0 \leq k < n$, $n \geq 1$, the maps $\partial\Delta[m] \square \Lambda^k[n] \rightarrow \partial\Delta[m] \square \Delta[n]$ and $\Delta[m] \square \Lambda^k[n] \rightarrow \Delta[m, n]$ are both column-wise left anodyne maps. Therefore the canonical map

$$\Delta[m] \square \Lambda^k[n] \rightarrow \partial\Delta[m] \square \Delta[n] \cup \Delta[m] \square \Lambda^k[n]$$

is a column-wise left anodyne map, since it is the pushout of one. But now in the composite

$$\Delta[m] \square \Lambda^k[n] \rightarrow \partial\Delta[m] \square \Delta[n] \cup \Delta[m] \square \Lambda^k[n] \rightarrow \Delta[m, n]$$

the first map and the composite are column-wise left anodyne maps. Therefore, by the right cancellation property of left anodyne maps in \mathbf{S} (Proposition 3 on p. 146) it follows that $\partial\Delta[m] \square \Delta[n] \cup \Delta[m] \square \Lambda^k[n] \rightarrow \Delta[m, n]$ is column-wise left anodyne.

To complete the proof, observe that the functor $\Delta[m] \setminus - : \mathbf{SS} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ which sends a bisimplicial set X to its m -th column X_{m*} has a right adjoint and hence sends saturated classes to saturated classes. \square

It follows that the class of horizontal left anodyne maps in \mathbf{SS} is equal to the class of column-wise left anodyne maps, but we will not need this.

Lemma 15 – *Suppose that $i: A \rightarrow B$ is a horizontal left anodyne map in \mathbf{SS} . Then the diagonal $di: dA \rightarrow dB$ is a left anodyne map in \mathbf{S} .*

Proof. It suffices to prove the statement when i is the inclusion $\partial\Delta[m] \square \Delta[n] \cup \Delta[m] \square \Lambda^k[n] \subset \Delta[m, n]$ with $0 \leq k < n$. But then di is the map

$$\partial\Delta[m] \times \Delta[n] \cup \Delta[m] \times \Lambda^k[n] \subset \Delta[m] \times \Delta[n]$$

which is left anodyne by Lurie (2009, Corollary 2.1.2.7) or Joyal (2008, Theorem 2.17). \square

The next lemma has a more general formulation, but the following version will be sufficient for our purposes.

Lemma 16 – *If $i: A \rightarrow B$ is a monomorphism in \mathbf{SS} and $j: K \rightarrow L$ is left anodyne then the induced map*

$$A \otimes L \cup B \otimes K \rightarrow B \otimes L$$

is horizontally left anodyne.

Proof. Since both the domain and codomain are cocontinuous functors of i and j , it suffices to prove the statement in the special case that i is the inclusion $\partial\Delta[m, n] \subset \Delta[n]$ and j is the horn inclusion $\Lambda^k[p] \subset \Delta[p]$ for $0 \leq k < p$. In this case the statement follows as in the proof of the previous lemma using Lurie (2009, Corollary 2.1.2.7) or Joyal (2008, Theorem 2.17). \square

Our next goal is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 11 – *Let $p: X \rightarrow Y$ be a strong Reedy left fibration. Then the diagonal $dp: dX \rightarrow dY$ is a left fibration in \mathbf{S} .*

Before we give the proof of this theorem let us borrow and abuse some convenient notation from Joyal and Tierney. If $i: A \rightarrow B$ and $p: X \rightarrow Y$ are maps in \mathbf{SS} let us write

$$\langle i, p \rangle: \text{map}(B, X) \rightarrow \text{map}(A, X) \times_{\text{map}(A, Y)} \text{map}(B, Y)$$

for the canonical map in \mathbf{S} induced from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{map}(B, X) & \longrightarrow & \text{map}(A, X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{map}(B, Y) & \longrightarrow & \text{map}(A, Y) \end{array} \quad (20)$$

in \mathbf{SS} .

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be the class of all monomorphisms i in \mathbf{S} such that $\langle \delta_!(i), p \rangle$ is a trivial Kan fibration, where $\delta_!: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{SS}$ denotes the left adjoint to the diagonal functor $d: \mathbf{SS} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$. Since a trivial Kan fibration is surjective on vertices, to prove the theorem it is sufficient to prove that every left anodyne map in \mathbf{S} is contained in \mathcal{A} . Therefore, by Proposition 4 on p. 146, it is sufficient to prove that \mathcal{A} is saturated, \mathcal{A} satisfies the right cancellation property, and that the initial vertex maps $\delta_n: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ are contained in \mathcal{A} for every $n \geq 0$.

We show that \mathcal{A} is saturated. The class of trivial Kan fibrations is closed under arbitrary products, pullbacks and retracts. We show that it is closed under sequential composition, which amounts to showing that given a sequence $\cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0$ of trivial Kan fibrations, the canonical map $X \rightarrow X_0$ is a trivial Kan fibration, where $X = \varprojlim X_n$. Recall that the inverse limit functor $\varprojlim: \mathbf{S}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ is right Quillen for the injective model structure on $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and that a map $X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a trivial fibration in the injective model structure if and only if $X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is a trivial Kan fibration, and $X_{n+1} \rightarrow Y_{n+1} \times_{Y_n} X_n$ is a trivial Kan fibration for all $n \geq 0$ ³². These conditions are trivially satisfied in our case, hence the result.

We show that \mathcal{A} satisfies the right cancellation property. Suppose $u: A \rightarrow B$ and $v: B \rightarrow C$ are monomorphisms in \mathbf{S} such that $vu, u \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\delta_!: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{SS}$ sends monomorphisms in \mathbf{S} to monomorphisms in \mathbf{SS} (Proposition 7 on p. 157) and p is a horizontal Reedy left fibration it follows from Lemma 16 on the previous page that $\langle \delta_!v, p \rangle$ is a left fibration. Observe that $\langle \delta_!(vu), p \rangle = \langle \delta_!u, p \rangle \langle \delta_!v, p \rangle$ and that $\langle \delta_!(vu), p \rangle, \langle \delta_!u, p \rangle$ are trivial Kan fibrations by hypothesis. It follows easily, using the fact that a left fibration is a trivial Kan fibration if and only if its fibers are weakly contractible, that $\langle \delta_!v, p \rangle$ is a trivial Kan fibration.

3. Bisimplicial sets

Let $n \geq 0$; we show that $\delta_n: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ belongs to \mathcal{A} . This amounts to showing that the induced map $\delta_!(\delta_n): \Delta[0, 0] \rightarrow \Delta[n, n]$ is such that $\langle \delta_!(\delta_n), p \rangle$ is a trivial Kan fibration. But $\delta_!(\delta_n)$ factors as $\Delta[0, 0] \xrightarrow{i} \Delta[n, 0] \xrightarrow{j} \Delta[n, n]$. Therefore it suffices to show that $\langle i, p \rangle$ and $\langle j, p \rangle$ are trivial Kan fibrations. By Lemma 6 on p. 158, the map $\langle j, p \rangle$ is isomorphic to the map

$$\text{map}(\Delta[n], X_{n^*}) \rightarrow \text{map}(\Delta[n], Y_{n^*}) \times_{\text{map}(\Delta[0], Y_{n^*})} \text{map}(\Delta[0], X_{n^*})$$

Since $\delta_n: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ is left anodyne and $X_{n^*} \rightarrow Y_{n^*}$ is a left fibration (Lemma 11 on p. 161) it follows that $\langle j, p \rangle$ is a trivial Kan fibration. Also, by hypothesis, the induced maps $X_{n^*} \rightarrow X_{0^*} \times_{Y_{0^*}} Y_{n^*}$ are trivial Kan fibrations for all $n \geq 0$ and therefore Lemma 6 on p. 158 implies that $\langle i, p \rangle$ is a trivial Kan fibration which completes the proof. \square

Next we show that the diagonal functor d sends column-wise cofinal maps to cofinal maps of simplicial sets.

Proposition 9 – *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of bisimplicial sets which is column-wise right cofinal. Then the diagonal $df: dX \rightarrow dY$ is a right cofinal map of simplicial sets.*

Proof. Factor f as $X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Y$, where $X \rightarrow Z$ is horizontally left anodyne and $Z \rightarrow Y$ is a horizontal Reedy left fibration. We will prove below that $Z \rightarrow Y$ is in fact a trivial Reedy fibration. The diagonal $dX \rightarrow dZ$ is left anodyne by Lemma 15 on p. 163 and the diagonal $dZ \rightarrow dY$ is a trivial Kan fibration by Corollary 1 on p. 157. Therefore the composite map $dX \rightarrow dY$ is right cofinal (Definition 1 on p. 150).

We prove that $Z \rightarrow Y$ is a trivial Reedy fibration. Therefore we need to prove that the map

$$p: Z_{m^*} \rightarrow \partial\Delta[m] \setminus Z \times_{\partial\Delta[m] \setminus Y} Y_{m^*}$$

is a trivial Kan fibration for all $m \geq 0$. By hypothesis p is a left fibration. Therefore it suffices to prove that its fibers are contractible. Observe that $Z_{m^*} \rightarrow Y_{m^*}$ is right cofinal for all $m \geq 0$, since the class of right cofinal maps satisfies the right cancellation property. Therefore $Z_{m^*} \rightarrow Y_{m^*}$ is a trivial Kan fibration, since a left fibration which is also right cofinal is a trivial Kan fibration (Lemma 3 on p. 150).

It suffices to prove that the map $\partial\Delta[m] \setminus Z \rightarrow \partial\Delta[m] \setminus Y$ is a trivial Kan fibration, since a standard argument shows that the fibers of p are contractible. More generally we prove that $A \setminus Z \rightarrow A \setminus Y$ is a trivial Kan fibration for any simplicial set A .

To prove this statement we first use the fact that the functors $(-) \setminus Z: \mathbf{S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ and $(-) \setminus Y: \mathbf{S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ are continuous, to prove by induction on n that $\text{sk}_n A \setminus Z \rightarrow \text{sk}_n A \setminus Y$ is a trivial Kan fibration for any simplicial set A , where $\text{sk}_n A$ denotes the n -skeleton. The initial case $n = 0$ is easy, and the inductive step follows from a combination

³²See for example Goerss and Jardine, 1999, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, VI Proposition 1.3.

of Lemma 11 on p. 161, the fact that $\partial\Delta[n] = \text{sk}_{n-1}\Delta[n]$, and the following trivial observation: suppose that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \longrightarrow & Y \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ Z & \longrightarrow & X \end{array} \tag{21}$$

is a commutative diagram of simplicial sets in which p and q are trivial Kan fibrations, then the canonical map $W \rightarrow Z \times_X Y$ is a trivial Kan fibration if it is a left fibration (the hypotheses on p and q imply that it is a fiberwise weak homotopy equivalence).

To complete the proof it suffices to show that

$$\text{sk}_{n+1}A \setminus Z \rightarrow \text{sk}_{n+1}A \setminus Y \times_{\text{sk}_n A \setminus Y} \text{sk}_n A \setminus Z$$

is a trivial Kan fibration for every $n \geq 0$ (compare with the proof of Theorem 11 on p. 164 above). But this follows from Lemma 11 on p. 161 and the observation above. \square

4 Covariant model structures and simplicial presheaves

4.1 The projective model structure on $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$

Let B be a simplicial set. The Yoneda embedding induces an embedding $y/B: \Delta/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$ and hence we obtain an adjoint pair

$$(y/B)_! : [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}] \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B : (y/B)_*$$

in which the left adjoint functor $(y/B)_!$ is the left Kan extension along y/B . It is well known that the pair $((y/B)_!, (y/B)_*)$ is an adjoint equivalence. Clearly there is an induced adjoint equivalence

$$(y/B)_! : [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows \mathbf{SS}/B \square 1 : (y/B)_*$$

between the corresponding categories of simplicial objects. If $X \in \mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$ then $(y/B)_*(X)$ is the simplicial presheaf on Δ/B whose simplicial set of sections over $\sigma: \Delta[m] \rightarrow B$ is isomorphic to

$$X_{m*} \times_{B_m} \{\sigma\}.$$

It follows easily that $(y/B)_*$ sends horizontal projective fibrations (respectively trivial fibrations) in $\mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$ to projective fibrations (respectively trivial fibrations) in $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$. Therefore we have the following result.

4. Covariant model structures and simplicial presheaves

Theorem 12 – *Let B be a simplicial set. Then the adjunction*

$$(y/B)_! : [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B \square 1 : (y/B)_*$$

is a Quillen equivalence for the projective model structure on $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ and the horizontal projective model structure on $\mathbf{S}/B \square 1$.

The adjunction $((y/B)_!, (y/B)_*)$ is simplicial for the horizontal simplicial enrichment on $\mathbf{S}/B \square 1$ and the canonical simplicial enrichment on $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ for which the tensor $F \otimes K$ for a simplicial presheaf F and a simplicial set K is the simplicial presheaf $F \otimes K$ defined by

$$(F \otimes K)(\sigma) = F(\sigma) \times K.$$

The category $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$, equipped with the projective model structure, is what is called in Dugger (2001) the *universal homotopy theory* built from $(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}$. It follows from Proposition 2.3 of Dugger (2001) that the embedding $y/B: \Delta/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$ induces a Quillen adjunction

$$\text{Re}_B : [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B : \text{Sing}_B$$

for the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B . When B is understood we will simply write Re and Sing . The adjoint pair (Re, Sing) factors through the adjoint pair (d, d_*) of Proposition 8 on p. 161 in the sense that there are natural isomorphisms $\text{Sing} \simeq (y/B)_* d_*$ and $\text{Re} \simeq d (y/B)_!$. Hence in the diagram

$$[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(y/B)_!} \\ \xleftarrow{(y/B)_*} \end{array} \mathbf{S}/B \square 1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d} \\ \xleftarrow{d_*} \end{array} \mathbf{S}/B \quad (22)$$

the composite horizontal right pointing arrow is naturally isomorphic to the functor $\text{Re}: [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$ and the composite horizontal left pointing arrow is naturally isomorphic to the functor $\text{Sing}: \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$.

4.2 The localized projective model structure on $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$

We denote by W_B the wide subcategory of the simplex category Δ/B of B whose maps are the initial vertex maps in Δ . When B is clear from the context and no confusion is likely we will simply write W instead of W_B .

It is clear that each map in W is sent to a left anodyne map in \mathbf{S}/B under the embedding $\Delta/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$. It follows that the Quillen adjunction (Re, Sing) descends to a Quillen adjunction

$$\text{Re}: L_W [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B : \text{Sing},$$

where $L_W [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ denotes the left Bousfield localization of the projective model structure on $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ at the set of arrows which is the image of W under the

Yoneda embedding $y: \Delta/B \rightarrow [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$. In this subsection we will prove that in fact this is a Quillen equivalence.

Before we proceed to the proof of this fact, let us spell out what it means for a simplicial presheaf $F: (\Delta/B)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ to be W -local. By definition, F is W -local if and only if F is projectively fibrant and the induced map

$$u^*: \text{map}(\sigma', F) \rightarrow \text{map}(\sigma, F)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence for all maps $u: \sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$ in W , where $\text{map}(-, -)$ denotes the simplicial enrichment in $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$. Since the canonical initial vertex map $\sigma(0) = \sigma'(0) \rightarrow \sigma'$ factors through u via the initial vertex map $\sigma(0) \rightarrow \sigma$, we see that a projectively fibrant simplicial presheaf F is W -local if and only if the map

$$\text{map}(\sigma, F) \rightarrow \text{map}(\sigma(0), F)$$

induced by the initial vertex map $\sigma(0) \rightarrow \sigma$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all objects $\sigma \in \Delta/B$. Alternatively, if we identify F with an object $F \in \mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$ by means of the equivalence of Theorem 12 on the previous page, i.e. if we identify $F \in [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ with $(y/B)_!(F) \in \mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$, then F is W -local if and only if F is projectively fibrant in $\mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$, and the maps

$$F_{n*} \rightarrow F_{0*} \times_{B_0} B_n$$

induced by $0: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ are weak homotopy equivalences for all $n \geq 0$. We will now prove Theorem 1 on p. 139 from the introduction. Recall the statement of this theorem.

Theorem – *The Quillen adjunction (Re, Sing) descends to a Quillen equivalence*

$$L_W[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B$$

between the localized projective model structure and the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B .

Proof. We begin by proving that if $X \in \mathbf{L}(B)$, then the map $\text{Re } Q \text{ Sing}(X) \rightarrow X$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B , where $Q \text{ Sing}(X) \rightarrow \text{Sing}(X)$ is a cofibrant replacement in $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$. Equivalently, by Theorem 12 on the previous page, we may prove that the map $dQd_*X \rightarrow X$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B , where $Qd_*X \rightarrow d_*X$ is a cofibrant replacement in $\mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$. But $dQd_*X \rightarrow dd_*X$ is a covariant equivalence (Proposition 8 on p. 161), and hence it suffices to prove that $dd_*X \rightarrow X$ is a covariant equivalence. Observe that there is a factorization

$$X \rightarrow dd_*X \rightarrow X$$

of the identity map of X , where the map $X \rightarrow dd_*X$ is the diagonal of the canonical map of bisimplicial sets $X \rightarrow d_*X$, which in degree n is the map $X \rightarrow (d_*X)_{*n}$ induced by the unique map $[n] \rightarrow [0]$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that $X \rightarrow dd_*X$ is a

4. Covariant model structures and simplicial presheaves

covariant equivalence. By Lemma 9 on p. 161 it is sufficient to show that the maps $X \rightarrow (d_*X)_{*n}$ are covariant equivalences in \mathbf{S}/B for all n . But for every $n \geq 0$ the map $X \rightarrow (d_*X)_{*n}$ is left inverse to the map

$$X^{\Delta[n]} \rightarrow X$$

in $\mathbf{L}(B)$ induced by the map $0: [0] \rightarrow [n]$. This last map is a trivial fibration since $0: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ is left anodyne and $X \in \mathbf{L}(B)$. Hence $X \rightarrow (d_*X)_{*n}$ is a covariant equivalence.

To complete the proof we show that for any projectively cofibrant $F \in [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$, and for a suitable choice of fibrant replacement $\text{Re}(F) \rightarrow R\text{Re}(F)$, the map

$$F \rightarrow \text{Sing}(R\text{Re}(F))$$

in $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ is a W -local equivalence. Regard F as an object of $\mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that F is W -local. We may factor the structure map $F \rightarrow B \square 1$ as $F \rightarrow F' \rightarrow B \square 1$, where $F \rightarrow F'$ is a horizontal left anodyne map and $F' \rightarrow B \square 1$ is a horizontal Reedy left fibration. Since F is W -local the maps

$$F_{n*} \rightarrow B_n \times_{B_0} F_{0*}$$

induced by $0: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ are weak homotopy equivalences for all $n \geq 0$. Therefore, since $F \rightarrow F'$ is column-wise left anodyne (Lemma 14 on p. 162), the vertical maps in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{n*} & \longrightarrow & B_n \times_{B_0} F_{0*} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F'_{n*} & \longrightarrow & B_n \times_{B_0} F'_{0*} \end{array} \quad (23)$$

are weak homotopy equivalences, and hence

$$F'_{n*} \rightarrow B_n \times_{B_0} F'_{0*}$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence for every $n \geq 0$. Therefore (Lemma 13 on p. 162) we deduce that $F' \rightarrow B \square 1$ is a strong horizontal Reedy left fibration.

We have a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & F' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ d_*dF & \longrightarrow & d_*dF' \end{array} \quad (24)$$

in \mathbf{SS}/B . We will prove the following statements are true:

1. $dF \rightarrow dF'$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B ;

2. $dF' \in \mathbf{L}(B)$ (therefore dF' is a fibrant replacement of dF in \mathbf{S}/B);
3. $F' \rightarrow d_*dF'$ is a column-wise weak homotopy equivalence.

These statements suffice to prove that $F \rightarrow \text{Sing}(R\text{Re}F)$ is a W -local equivalence. Statement (1) follows from Lemma 15 on p. 163. Statement (2) follows from Theorem 11 on p. 164. We need to prove Statement (3). Note that F' is W -local, and also d_*dF' is W -local (Lemma 8 on p. 160). Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that $F'_{0*} \rightarrow (d_*dF')_{0*}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Recall from the proof of Lemma 8 on p. 160 that $(d_*dF')_{0*}$ forms part of a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (d_*dF')_{0*} & \longrightarrow & dF' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B_0 & \longrightarrow & B
 \end{array} \tag{25}$$

and so $(d_*dF')_{0*}$ is the diagonal of the bisimplicial set whose m -th row is

$$B_0 \times_{B_m} F'_{m*}$$

and the map $F'_{0*} \rightarrow (d_*dF')_{0*}$ is the diagonal of the map of bisimplicial sets which on m -th rows is the map

$$F'_{0*} \rightarrow B_0 \times_{B_m} F'_{m*}$$

induced by the canonical map $[m] \rightarrow [0]$. Clearly it is sufficient to prove that each of these maps on rows is a weak homotopy equivalence. But the composite

$$F'_{0*} \rightarrow B_0 \times_{B_m} F'_{m*} \rightarrow F'_{0*}$$

is the identity, and the second map is a weak homotopy equivalence since F' is W -local, hence the result. \square

Remark 1 – Notice that one outcome of this proof is that the counit $\text{Re} \text{Sing}(X) \rightarrow X$ is a covariant equivalence for any $X \in \mathbf{S}/B$, which is a stronger statement than what one would usually expect.

A dual version of the *mapping simplex* $M(\phi)$ of a map $\phi: [n] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{33}$ can be understood in terms of the functor Re in the case where $B = \Delta[n]$. If $q_{\Delta[n]}: (\Delta[n])^{\text{op}} \rightarrow [n]$ denotes the map from introduction defined by $q_{\Delta[n]}(u) = u(0)$ for $u: [m] \rightarrow [n]$, then the (dual) of the mapping simplex $M(\phi)$ of a map $\phi: [n] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ is $M(\phi) = \text{Re}(q_{\Delta[n]}^* \phi)$. This observation, together with Theorem 1 on p. 139, can be used to prove a version of Proposition 3.2.2.7 of Lurie (2009) for left fibrations.

³³See Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Section 3.2.2.

4. Covariant model structures and simplicial presheaves

Remark 2 – If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a map of simplicial sets then f induces a Quillen adjunction $f_!: \mathbf{S}/A \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B: f^*$ between the respective covariant model structures and (since $f_!: \Delta/A \rightarrow \Delta/B$ maps the initial vertex maps in Δ/A to the initial vertex maps in Δ/B) a Quillen adjunction $f_!: L_{W_A}[(\Delta/A)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows L_{W_B}[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]: f^*$ between the localized projective model structures. Moreover, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{W_A}[(\Delta/A)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{S}/A \\ f_! \downarrow & & \downarrow f_! \\ L_{W_B}[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{S}/B \end{array} \quad (26)$$

of left Quillen functors commutes up to natural isomorphism (the horizontal maps are the left Quillen functors from Theorem 1 on p. 139).

4.3 Another Quillen equivalence

By composing the embedding y/B with the forgetful functor we obtain a simplicial diagram $y/B: \Delta/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ on Δ/B . As such we may form its *simplicial replacement* $s(y/B)$; this is a bisimplicial set whose n -th row is

$$s(y/B)_n = \bigsqcup_{\sigma_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n} y/B(\sigma_0)$$

where the coproduct is taken over the set of n -simplices in the nerve $N(\Delta/B)$. The various maps $\sigma_0: \Delta[m_0] \rightarrow B$ define a row augmentation $s(y/B) \rightarrow B \square 1$. If $X \in \mathbf{S}/B$ then we can form the bisimplicial set

$$s(X) := s(y/B) \times_{B \square 1} X \square 1,$$

which is again row-augmented over B . The construction $s(X)$ defines a functor

$$s: \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{SS}/B \square 1;$$

since $s(X)$ is constructed as a fiber product it follows that the functor s is cocontinuous. The bisimplicial set $s(X)$ is canonically isomorphic to the bisimplicial set whose n -th row is

$$\bigsqcup_{y/B(\sigma_0) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y/B(\sigma_n) \rightarrow X} (y/B)_!(y(\sigma_0))$$

where $(y/B)_!$ is the functor from Section 4.1 on p. 166. Let

$$s_!: \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$$

denote the functor which sends $X \in \mathbf{S}/B$ to the simplicial presheaf $s_!X$ on Δ/B whose presheaf of n -simplices is

$$s_!(X)_n = \bigsqcup_{y(\sigma_0) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y(\sigma_n) \rightarrow (y/B)_* X} y(\sigma_0).$$

Then $s_!$ forms part of an adjoint pair $(s_!, s^!)$ and moreover the composite functor $(y/B)_{!s_!}$ is naturally isomorphic to the functor $s: \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{SS}/B \square 1$ described above.

Observe that for any simplicial set $X \in \mathbf{S}/B$ there is a canonical map

$$ds(X) \rightarrow X,$$

natural in X . This map is obtained as the diagonal of the canonical map $s(X) \rightarrow X$ of bisimplicial sets (here X is regarded as a horizontally constant bisimplicial set), which on n -th rows is the map

$$\bigsqcup_{\sigma_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n} y/B(\sigma_0) \rightarrow X$$

induced by the map $\sigma_0: \Delta[n_0] \rightarrow X$, where the coproduct is indexed over the set of n -simplices of the nerve of the category Δ/X of simplices of X .

We have the following result.

Proposition 10 – *Let B be a simplicial set. Then the canonical map $ds(X) \rightarrow X$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B for any $X \in \mathbf{S}/B$.*

Proof. We prove that the map on m -th columns

$$s(X)_{m*} \rightarrow X_m$$

is right cofinal for every $m \geq 0$. The result then follows by applying Proposition 9 on p. 165 and the fact that a right cofinal map in \mathbf{S}/B is a covariant equivalence (Lemma 4 on p. 150).

Observe that we may regard X_m as a discrete category and that $s(X)_{m*}$ is the nerve of a category whose objects are pairs (σ, x) where $\sigma: \Delta[n_\sigma] \rightarrow X$ is a simplex of X and $x: \Delta[m] \rightarrow \Delta[n_\sigma]$ is an m -simplex. A morphism $(\sigma, x) \rightarrow (\tau, y)$ between such pairs consists of a map $u: \Delta[n_\sigma] \rightarrow \Delta[n_\tau]$ in the simplex category Δ/B such that $ux = y$.

We use Theorem 9 on p. 151. It suffices to show that for every m -simplex $x \in X_m$, the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$ of the map $\pi: r(X)_{m*} \rightarrow X_m$ over x is weakly contractible. But $(x: \Delta[m] \rightarrow X, \text{id})$ is an initial object of $\pi^{-1}(x)$, where $\text{id}: \Delta[m] \rightarrow \Delta[m]$ denotes the unique non-degenerate m -simplex. The result follows. \square

Our next aim is to show that the functor $s_!: \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ is a left Quillen functor for the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B and the projective model structure on $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$. In fact, if $X \subset Y$ is a monomorphism in \mathbf{S}/B , then $s_!X \rightarrow s_!Y$ is a *degeneracy free* morphism³⁴ with a decomposition $s_!Y_n = s_!X_n \sqcup Z_n$, where

$$Z_n = \bigsqcup_{\sigma_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n} \Delta[n_0] \subset s_!Y_n,$$

³⁴Goerss and Jardine, 1999, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Definition VII 1.10.

4. Covariant model structures and simplicial presheaves

and where for each summand at least one $\sigma_i: \Delta[n_i] \rightarrow Y$ is a simplex of Y which does not belong to X . It follows³⁵ that the functor $s_! : \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow L_W[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ sends covariant cofibrations to cofibrations in the localized projective model structure on $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$. We will now prove Theorem 2 on p. 139 which is:

Theorem – *Let B be a simplicial set. The adjoint pair*

$$s_! : \mathbf{S}/B \rightleftarrows L_W[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] : s^!$$

is a Quillen equivalence for the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B and the localized projective model structure on $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$.

Proof. We prove first that $(s_!, s^!)$ is a Quillen adjunction. By the remarks above, it suffices to prove that if $X \rightarrow Y$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B then $s(X) \rightarrow s(Y)$ is a W -local equivalence in $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$. Equivalently, we need to show that $ds(X) \rightarrow ds(Y)$ is a covariant equivalence, since $d : L_W[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$ reflects weak equivalences between cofibrant objects by Theorem 1 on p. 139. The result then follows by Proposition 10 on the preceding page.

Now we prove that $(s_!, s^!)$ is a Quillen equivalence. We show first that $s_!$ reflects weak equivalences between cofibrant objects. Equivalently, by Theorem 12 on p. 167, we may prove that $s : \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}/B \square 1$ reflects weak equivalences between cofibrant objects. Suppose that $X \rightarrow Y$ is a map in \mathbf{S}/B such that $s(X) \rightarrow s(Y)$ is a W -local equivalence in $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$. Then $ds(X) \rightarrow ds(Y)$ is a covariant equivalence, from which it follows that $X \rightarrow Y$ is a covariant equivalence by Proposition 10 on the preceding page.

Let $F \in [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ and suppose that F is W -local. We will prove that $s_!s^!F \rightarrow F$ is a W -local equivalence. It suffices to prove that $s_!s^!\text{Sing}(X) \rightarrow \text{Sing}(X)$ is a W -local equivalence for any $X \in \mathbf{L}(B)$. Therefore, by Remark 1 on p. 170, it suffices to prove that $\text{Re } s_!s^!\text{Sing}(X) \rightarrow \text{Re } \text{Sing}(X)$ is a covariant equivalence. By Proposition 10 on the preceding page it suffices to prove that the map $X \rightarrow s^!\text{Sing}(X)$, conjugate to $\text{Re } s_!X \rightarrow X$, is a covariant equivalence for any $X \in \mathbf{L}(B)$. To do this it is sufficient to prove that for every $Y \in \mathbf{S}/B$ the induced map

$$\text{ho}(\mathbf{S}/B)(Y, X) \rightarrow \text{ho}(\mathbf{S}/B)(Y, s^!\text{Sing}(X))$$

is an isomorphism. But this map is isomorphic to the map

$$\text{ho}(\mathbf{S}/B)(Y, X) \rightarrow \text{ho}(\mathbf{S}/B)(\text{Re } s_!Y, X)$$

induced by $\text{Re } s_!Y \rightarrow Y$.

The result then follows from Proposition 10 on the preceding page. \square

³⁵Goerss and Jardine, 1999, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Example VII 1.15.

5 Localization of simplicial categories and quasi-categories

5.1 Simplicial localization

Write \mathbf{SCat} for the category of simplicial categories and simplicial functors between them; if O is a set then we will write $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$ for the subcategory of \mathbf{SCat} on the simplicial categories C such that $\text{Ob}(C) = O$. The morphisms in $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$ are the functors which are the identity on objects. Recall³⁶ that the category $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$ has the structure of a simplicial model category for which the weak equivalences are the maps $A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$ such that $A(x, y) \rightarrow B(x, y)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all $x, y \in O$. More generally in the *Bergner model structure* on \mathbf{SCat} the weak equivalences are the *DK-equivalences*, i.e. the maps $f: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{SCat} such that (i) $A(x, y) \rightarrow B(f(x), f(y))$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all objects x, y of A and (ii) $\pi_0 f: \pi_0 A \rightarrow \pi_0 B$ is an equivalence of categories³⁷.

We recall some of the details of the simplicial localization functor described in Dwyer and Kan (1980b).

Definition 5 – If $C \in \mathbf{SCat}(O)$ and $W \subset C$ is a subcategory in $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$ (so that $\text{Ob}(W) = O$) then the *simplicial localization* $L^S(C, W)$ is defined as follows. In each degree $n \geq 0$ form the free simplicial O -categories $F_* W_n$ and $F_* C_n$ ³⁸. Thus we have simplicial objects $F_* W$ and $F_* C$ in $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$. Then $L^S(C, W)$ is defined to be

$$L^S(C, W) = d(F_* C[F_* W^{-1}]),$$

where $d: s\mathbf{SCat}(O) \rightarrow \mathbf{SCat}(O)$ denotes the diagonal functor.

Here if $A \subset B$ is a simplicial subcategory of B in $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$ then we write $B[A^{-1}]$ for the simplicial category in $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$ defined by the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A[A^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & B[A^{-1}] \end{array} \quad (27)$$

in $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$, where $A \rightarrow A[A^{-1}]$ is the unit of the adjunction

$$(-)[(-)^{-1}]: \mathbf{SCat}(O) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{SGpd}(O): i,$$

in which $i: \mathbf{SGpd}(O) \subset \mathbf{SCat}(O)$ denotes the inclusion of the category $\mathbf{SGpd}(O)$ of simplicial groupoids into the category $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$.

³⁶Dwyer and Kan, 1980b, “Simplicial localizations of categories”, Proposition 7.2.

³⁷See Bergner, 2007, “A model category structure on the category of simplicial categories”, for more details.

³⁸Dwyer and Kan, 1980b, “Simplicial localizations of categories”, (2.5).

5. Localization of simplicial categories and quasi-categories

We shall also make use of the *hammock localization* $L^H(C, W)$; we refer the reader to Dwyer and Kan (1980a) for the details of this construction as these details will not play an important role for us. We recall that there is a zig-zag of DK-equivalences relating the simplicial localization $L^S(C, W)$ and the hammock localization $L^H(C, W)$ ³⁹:

$$L^H(C, W) \leftarrow \text{diag}L^H(F_*C, F_*W) \rightarrow L^S(C, W)$$

If W is a collection of arrows in a simplicial category C , then a different version of the simplicial localization of C along W is defined by Lurie⁴⁰ as follows.

Definition 6 (Lurie) – If W is a collection of arrows in a simplicial category C , then the simplicial localization $L(C, W)$ of C along W may be taken to be the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigsqcup_{w \in W} I & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigsqcup_{w \in W} \mathcal{C}[J] & \longrightarrow & L(C, W) \end{array} \quad (28)$$

The map $I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[J]$ is the cofibration obtained by applying the functor $\mathcal{C}[-]: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{SCat}$ to the canonical inclusion of simplicial sets $I \rightarrow J$.

Recall in the definition above that J denotes the groupoid interval, i.e. the nerve of the groupoid with two objects and one isomorphism between them.

The simplicial category $\mathcal{C}[S]$ is difficult to describe explicitly for arbitrary simplicial sets; in the definition above we have used the easily proved fact that the canonical map $\mathcal{C}[I] \rightarrow I$ is an isomorphism, where I is regarded as a simplicial category whose mapping spaces are discrete simplicial sets. We shall also need the following result⁴¹, which describes $\mathcal{C}[S]$ when S is the nerve of a small category.

Proposition 11 (Cordier, Riehl) – *Let A be a small category. Then there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{C}[NA] = F_*(A)$. In particular the canonical map $\pi_0: \mathcal{C}[NA] \rightarrow A$ is a DK-equivalence.*

We refer to Riehl (2011) for a particularly simple proof of this fact using the technology of “necklaces” from Dugger and Spivak (2011).

The construction $L(C, W)$ has a number of very useful properties; $L(C, W)$ is given by a homotopy pushout in \mathbf{SCat} , it can be characterized by a universal property⁴² and $L(C, W)$ is compatible with colimits in the pair (C, W) .

³⁹See Dwyer and Kan, 1980a, “Calculating simplicial localizations”, Proposition 2.2.

⁴⁰Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Section A.3.5.

⁴¹See Cordier, 1982, “Sur la notion de diagramme homotopiquement cohérent”;

Riehl, 2011, “On the structure of simplicial categories associated to quasi-categories”.

⁴²Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Proposition A.3.5.5.

Suppose that $W \subset C$ is a discrete subcategory of C in $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$, so that $W(x, y)$ is a discrete simplicial set for all $x, y \in O$. Then we may consider the localization $L(C, W)$ as in Definition 6 on the previous page above (where we have abused notation and written W for the set of arrows of the category W) and compare it with $L^S(C, W)$ — the Dwyer-Kan simplicial localization⁴³. In the next proposition we show, as one would expect, that $L(C, W)$ and $L^S(C, W)$ are weakly equivalent.

Proposition 12 – *Suppose that $W \subset C$ is a discrete subcategory of C in $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$. Then the simplicial categories $L(C, W)$ and $L^S(C, W)$ are weakly equivalent.*

Proof. There is a canonical map $\bigsqcup_{w \in W} I \rightarrow W$; on applying the functor $\mathfrak{C}[-]$ to the corresponding map of simplicial sets we obtain a factorization

$$\bigsqcup_{w \in W} I \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}[W] \rightarrow W$$

since, as remarked earlier, there is an isomorphism $\mathfrak{C}[I] = I$. Factor the resulting map $\mathfrak{C}[W] \rightarrow C$ in $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$ as a cofibration $\mathfrak{C}[W] \rightarrow C'$ followed by a trivial DK-fibration $C' \rightarrow C$, so that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bigsqcup_{w \in W} I & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}[W] & \longrightarrow & C' \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & W & \longrightarrow & C \end{array} \tag{29}$$

Note that, since the Bergner model structure on \mathbf{SCat} is left proper⁴⁴, the canonical map $L(C', W) \rightarrow L(C, W)$ is a DK-equivalence. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bigsqcup_{w \in W} I & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}[W] & \longrightarrow & C' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigsqcup_{w \in W} \mathfrak{C}[J] & \longrightarrow & L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W) & \longrightarrow & L(C', W) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigsqcup_{w \in W} J & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}[W][\mathfrak{C}[W]^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & C'[\mathfrak{C}[W]^{-1}] \end{array} \tag{30}$$

in which all squares except for the bottom left hand square are pushouts, and where the map

$$\bigsqcup_{w \in W} J \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}[W][\mathfrak{C}[W]^{-1}]$$

⁴³Dwyer and Kan, 1980b, “Simplicial localizations of categories”.

5. Localization of simplicial categories and quasi-categories

is obtained by applying the groupoid completion functor to the map $\bigsqcup_{w \in W} I \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}[W]$. The map $L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W) \rightarrow L(C', W)$ is a cofibration since it is a pushout of the cofibration $\mathfrak{C}[W] \rightarrow C'$; therefore to prove that the map $L(C', W) \rightarrow C'[\mathfrak{C}[W]^{-1}]$ is a DK-equivalence it suffices to prove that $L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}[W][\mathfrak{C}[W]^{-1}]$ is a DK-equivalence. Since $\mathfrak{C}[W][\mathfrak{C}[W]^{-1}]$ is a simplicial groupoid, it follows that this last map factors through the groupoid completion of $L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W)$ as

$$L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W) \rightarrow L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W)[L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W)^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}[W][\mathfrak{C}[W]^{-1}]$$

Observe that $\pi_0 L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W) = W[W^{-1}]$ is a groupoid; since $L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W)$ is cofibrant it follows that the canonical map

$$L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W) \rightarrow L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W)[L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W)^{-1}]$$

is a DK-equivalence⁴⁵. We consider the second map

$$p: L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W)[L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W)^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}[W][\mathfrak{C}[W]^{-1}]$$

in the composite map above. This map is left inverse to the map

$$q: \mathfrak{C}[W][\mathfrak{C}[W]^{-1}] \rightarrow L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W)[L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W)^{-1}]$$

obtained by applying the groupoid completion functor to $\mathfrak{C}[W] \rightarrow L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W)$. Therefore it suffices to prove that q is a DK-equivalence. The map q forms part of the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigsqcup_{w \in W} J & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}[W][\mathfrak{C}[W]^{-1}] \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow q \\ \bigsqcup_{w \in W} \mathfrak{C}[J][\mathfrak{C}[J]^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W)[L(\mathfrak{C}[W], W)^{-1}] \end{array} \quad (31)$$

in **SGpd**; we show first that this is a homotopy pushout (for the model structure on **SGpd** introduced in Dwyer and Kan (1984b)). The map i is obtained by applying the groupoid completion functor $(-)[(-)^{-1}]$ to the cofibration

$$\bigsqcup_{w \in W} I \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{w \in W} \mathfrak{C}[J]$$

in **SCat** and hence is an cofibration in **SGpd**, since $(-)[(-)^{-1}]: \mathbf{SCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{SGpd}$ is left Quillen. To complete the proof that q is a DK-equivalence, it suffices to show that the left hand vertical map in the diagram above is a DK-equivalence, which is straightforward.

Next, observe that there is a canonical map $L^S(C', \mathfrak{C}[W]) \rightarrow C'[\mathfrak{C}[W]^{-1}]$ and a canonical map $L^S(C', \mathfrak{C}[W]) \rightarrow L^S(C, W)$. The latter map is a DK-equivalence by

Corollary 6.3 of Dwyer and Kan (1980b), since $C' \rightarrow C$ is a DK-equivalence which restricts to a DK-equivalence $\mathfrak{C}[W] \rightarrow W$. It follows from Lemma 17, to be proved shortly, that $L^S(C', \mathfrak{C}[W]) \rightarrow C'[\mathfrak{C}[W]^{-1}]$ is a DK-equivalence. Therefore we have established that all the maps in the diagram

$$L(C, W) \leftarrow L(C', W) \rightarrow C'[\mathfrak{C}[W]^{-1}] \leftarrow L^S(C', \mathfrak{C}[W]) \rightarrow L^S(C, W)$$

are DK-equivalences, which completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Lemma 17 – *Suppose $W \rightarrow C$ is a DK cofibration in $\mathbf{SCat}(O)$, where W and C are DK cofibrant. Then the canonical map*

$$L^S(C, W) \rightarrow C[W^{-1}]$$

is a DK equivalence.

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $W \rightarrow C$ is a free map⁴⁶ between free categories⁴⁷. To see this, observe that since W is DK cofibrant, it is a retract of a free category U ⁴⁸. Define $C' = U \cup_W C$ so that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & C' & \longrightarrow & C \end{array} \quad (32)$$

which exhibits $W \rightarrow C$ as a retract of $U \rightarrow C'$, where $C' \rightarrow C$ is the canonical map. Then $U \rightarrow C'$ is a DK-cofibration and hence is a retract of a free map⁴⁹. Hence, since U is free, we may suppose without loss of generality that W and C are free, and that $W \rightarrow C$ is a free map. The Homotopy Lemma⁵⁰ applied to the pairs $dF_*W \subset dF_*C$ and $W \subset C$ and the canonical map $dF_*C \rightarrow C$ then implies that the map $L^S(C, W) \rightarrow C[W^{-1}]$ is a DK equivalence, as required. \square

We finish this section by describing Theorem 2.2 of Dwyer and Kan (1987) dealing with certain localizations of projective model structures. Following Section 2.3.2 of Toën and Vezzosi (2005) we reformulate this theorem in the language of Bousfield localizations.

⁴⁴Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Proposition A.3.2.4.

⁴⁵Dwyer and Kan, 1980b, “Simplicial localizations of categories”, Proposition 9.5.

⁴⁶Ibid., (7.4).

⁴⁷Ibid., (4.5).

⁴⁸Ibid., (7.6) of.

⁴⁹Ibid., (7.6).

⁵⁰Ibid., (6.2).

5. Localization of simplicial categories and quasi-categories

Suppose that $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a simplicial functor between simplicial categories. Recall⁵¹ that the underlying functor $f: A \rightarrow B$ induces a Quillen adjunction

$$f_!: [A, \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows [B, \mathbf{S}]: f^*$$

for the projective model structures on $[A, \mathbf{S}]$ and $[B, \mathbf{S}]$. Moreover, we have

Proposition 13 – *Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a simplicial functor between simplicial categories. If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a DK-equivalence, then the Quillen adjunction $(f_!, f^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence for the projective model structures on $[A, \mathbf{S}]$ and $[B, \mathbf{S}]$.*

Suppose now that f is a functor $f: (A, U) \rightarrow (B, V)$ between pairs of simplicial categories (A, U) and (B, V) . Here by a pair of simplicial categories (A, U) it is understood that $U \subset A$ is a subcategory of A and similarly for (B, V) ; it is also understood that all categories have the same sets of objects. Let $L_U[A, \mathbf{S}]$ denote the left Bousfield localization of the projective model structure on $[A, \mathbf{S}]$ with respect to the set of morphisms which is the image of U under the Yoneda embedding; denote similarly $L_V[B, \mathbf{S}]$. Since $f(U) \subset V$ it follows that the adjoint pair $(f_!, f^*)$ descends to a Quillen adjunction

$$f_!: L_U[A, \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows L_V[B, \mathbf{S}]: f^*$$

between the localized projective model structures. A fibrant restricted diagram $F: A \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ in the sense of Dwyer and Kan (1987) is then precisely a U -local object in $[A, \mathbf{S}]$. We then have (following Toën and Vezzosi (2005)) the following reformulation of Theorem 2.2 from Dwyer and Kan (1987).

Theorem 13 (Dwyer and Kan, Toën and Vezzosi) – *Suppose that $f: (A, U) \rightarrow (B, V)$ is a simplicial functor between pairs of simplicial categories. If $Lf: L^S(A, U) \rightarrow L^S(B, V)$ is a DK-equivalence then the induced adjunction*

$$f_!: L_U[A, \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows L_V[B, \mathbf{S}]: f^*$$

is a Quillen equivalence.

5.2 Localization of quasi-categories

In this subsection we study an analog of Dwyer-Kan simplicial localization for quasi-categories. The following definition is due to Joyal⁵² (under the name *homotopy localization* or *quasi-localization*) and Lurie⁵³; further discussion of this concept of localization appears in Hinich (2016).

⁵¹Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Proposition A.3.3.7.

⁵²Joyal, 2008, “The theory of quasicategories and its applications”, p. 168.

⁵³Lurie, 2015, “Higher Algebra”, Definition 1.3.4.1.

Definition 7 – Let X be a simplicial set and let S be a set of arrows in X . A map $X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{S} is said to exhibit Y as a *localization* of X with respect to S if it satisfies the following universal property: for any quasi-category Z the induced map $Z^Y \rightarrow Z^X$ is fully faithful and the essential image consists of all functors $X \rightarrow Z$ which send every map in S to an equivalence in Z .

This universal property determines Y up to isomorphism in the homotopy category $\text{ho}(\mathbf{S})$ for the Joyal model structure. We can take as a model for a localization Y the simplicial set $L(X, S)$ defined by the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigsqcup_{s \in S} I & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigsqcup_{s \in S} J & \longrightarrow & L(X, S). \end{array} \quad (33)$$

To see that $L(X, S)$ is a model for Y we argue as follows. Let Z be a quasi-category. It is clear that the essential image of the map $Z^{L(X, S)} \rightarrow Z^X$ consists of all functors $X \rightarrow Z$ which send every map in S to an equivalence in Z . To show that the map $Z^{L(X, S)} \rightarrow Z^X$ is fully faithful it suffices to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z^{L(X, S) \times I} & \longrightarrow & Z^{X \times I} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z^{L(X, S) \times \partial I} & \longrightarrow & Z^{X \times \partial I} \end{array} \quad (34)$$

is a homotopy pullback for the Joyal model structure on \mathbf{S} , where the vertical maps are induced by the canonical map $\partial I \rightarrow I$. Therefore, since the Joyal model structure is cartesian, it suffices to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times \partial I & \longrightarrow & X \times I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L(X, S) \times \partial I & \longrightarrow & L(X, S) \times I \end{array} \quad (35)$$

is a homotopy pushout for the Joyal model structure. A straightforward argument reduces this to the problem of proving that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I \times \partial I & \longrightarrow & I \times I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ J \times \partial I & \longrightarrow & J \times I \end{array} \quad (36)$$

is a homotopy pushout in the Joyal model structure, which is clear.

5. Localization of simplicial categories and quasi-categories

Note that the construction $L(X, S)$ is functorial in the pair (X, S) ; hence we have a functor $L: \mathbf{S}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$, where \mathbf{S}^+ denotes the category of *marked* simplicial sets⁵⁴. In fact⁵⁵ a localization of X with respect to S may be represented by a fibrant replacement of (X, S) in the model structure of marked simplicial sets. Note also that there is a canonical isomorphism of simplicial sets $L(X, S)^{\text{op}} \simeq L(X^{\text{op}}, S^{\text{op}})$.

We have the following obvious result.

Lemma 18 – *Let X be a simplicial set and let $S \subset X_1$ be a set of arrows. Then there is an isomorphism $\mathfrak{C}[L(X, S)] = L(\mathfrak{C}[X], S)$, where $L(\mathfrak{C}[X], S)$ is the simplicial category from Definition 6 on p. 175 above.*

Suppose that $u: A \rightarrow B$ exhibits B as a localization of A at a set of arrows $S \subset A_1$. Let \mathcal{S} denote the quasi-category of spaces⁵⁶. A map $A \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ corresponding to a left fibration $X \in \mathbf{L}(A)$ via Theorem 2.2.1.2 of Lurie (2009) lies in the essential image of $u^*: \mathcal{S}^B \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^A$ if and only if the induced map⁵⁷ $f_! : X_a \rightarrow X_b$ is a homotopy equivalence for all $f: a \rightarrow b$ in S (here X_a and X_b denote the fibers of X over a and b respectively). This observation motivates the following definition.

Definition 8 – Let A be a simplicial set and let $S \subset A_1$ be a set of arrows in A . A left fibration $X \in \mathbf{L}(A)$ is said to be *S -local* if the induced map $f_! : X_a \rightarrow X_b$ is a homotopy equivalence for all $f: a \rightarrow b$ in S .

Recall⁵⁸ that if $X \in \mathbf{L}(A)$ then the map $f_! : X_a \rightarrow X_b$ is defined up to equivalence by choosing a section s of the trivial Kan fibration $\text{map}_A(I, X) \rightarrow \text{map}_A(\{0\}, X)$ and defining $f_!$ to be the composite map

$$\text{map}_A(\{0\}, X) \xrightarrow{s} \text{map}_A(I, X) \rightarrow \text{map}_A(\{1\}, X).$$

Therefore the left fibration X is S -local if and only if the Kan fibration $\text{map}_A(I, X) \rightarrow \text{map}_A(\{1\}, X)$ induced by the inclusion

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & I \\ & \searrow b & \swarrow f \\ & & A \end{array} \tag{37}$$

in \mathbf{S}/A is trivial for all $f \in S$. Hence we have the following characterization of S -local left fibrations: $X \in \mathbf{L}(A)$ is S -local if and only if it is a fibrant object in the left Bousfield localization $L_S \mathbf{S}/A$ of the covariant model structure $(\mathbf{S}/A, \mathbf{L}(A))$ at the

⁵⁴Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Section 3.1.

⁵⁵Lurie, 2015, “Higher Algebra”, Remark 1.3.4.2.

⁵⁶Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Definition 1.2.16.1.

⁵⁷Ibid., Lemma 2.1.1.4.

⁵⁸Ibid., Lemma 2.1.1.4.

set S of maps $(\{1\}, b) \rightarrow (I, f)$ in \mathbf{S}/A with $f: a \rightarrow b$ an arrow belonging to the set $S \subset A_1$.

With this description of S -local left fibrations in hand, we shall prove the following result relating the localization $L_S \mathbf{S}/A$ of the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/A and the covariant model structure on the quasi-localization $L(S, A)$.

Proposition 14 – *Let A be a simplicial set and let $S \subset A_1$ be a set of arrows in S . Let $v: A \rightarrow L(A, S)$ be the canonical map. Then the Quillen adjunction $(v_!, v^*)$ for the covariant model structures on \mathbf{S}/A and $\mathbf{S}/L(A, S)$ descends to a Quillen adjunction*

$$v_!: L_S \mathbf{S}/A \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/L(A, S): v^*$$

where $L_S \mathbf{S}/A$ denotes the left Bousfield localization as described above. Moreover this Quillen adjunction is a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. Let $v: A \rightarrow L(A, S)$ denote the canonical map. Suppose that $X \rightarrow A$ is an S -local left fibration. Proposition 2.1.3.1 in Lurie (2009) implies that the pullback $(S \times I) \times_A X \rightarrow S \times I$ is a Kan fibration. For ease of notation let us write $X' = (S \times I) \times_A X$. We may factor the Kan fibration $X' \rightarrow S \times I$ as $X' \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow S \times I$ where $X' \rightarrow X''$ is a trivial Kan fibration and $X'' \rightarrow S \times I$ is a minimal Kan fibration. It is a classical result⁵⁹ that $X'' \rightarrow S \times I$ is trivial, that is, there is an isomorphism $X'' \simeq S \times I \times M$ for some (minimal) Kan complex M . It follows easily that there is a minimal Kan fibration $Z' \rightarrow S \times J$ (explicitly we may take $Z' = S \times J \times M$) and a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Z' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S \times I & \longrightarrow & S \times J \end{array} \quad (38)$$

An argument due to Joyal⁶⁰ shows that we may find a trivial Kan fibration $Z \rightarrow Z'$ and an isomorphism $X' \simeq \phi^* Z$ over X'' . Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longleftarrow & (S \times I) \times_A X & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \longleftarrow & S \times I & \longrightarrow & S \times J \end{array} \quad (39)$$

in which the right hand square is a pullback and the map $Z \rightarrow S \times J$ is a Kan fibration. Define

$$Y := X \cup_{(S \times I) \times_A X} Z.$$

Then the canonical map $Y \rightarrow L(A, S)$ is a left fibration since its pullback under the surjective map $(S \times J) \sqcup A \rightarrow L(A, S)$ is the left fibration

$$Z \sqcup X \rightarrow (S \times J) \sqcup A.$$

5. Localization of simplicial categories and quasi-categories

We have $X \simeq v^*Y$ and so we obtain another characterization of S -local fibrations: $X \in \mathbf{L}(A)$ is S -local if and only if there exists $Y \in \mathbf{L}(L(A, S))$ and a covariant equivalence $X \rightarrow v^*Y$. It follows that a map $X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{S}/A is an S -local equivalence if and only if $v_1X \rightarrow v_1Y$ is a covariant equivalence in $\mathbf{S}/L(A, S)$. Therefore we have shown that the Quillen adjunction $v_1: \mathbf{S}/A \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/L(A, S): v^*$ descends to a Quillen adjunction $v_1: L_S \mathbf{S}/A \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/L(A, S): v^*$. It is straightforward to show that $(v^*)^R$ is fully faithful, from which we see that this last Quillen adjunction is a Quillen equivalence. \square

If B is a simplicial set then an arrow f in B induces an arrow in $\tau_1(B)$ in a canonical way. We will say that f is an *equivalence* in B if its image in $\tau_1(B)$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 19 – *Suppose that $u: A \rightarrow B$ is a map of simplicial sets which sends every arrow in $S \subset A_1$ to an equivalence in B . Then the Quillen adjunction (u_1, u^*) between the respective covariant model structures descends to define a Quillen adjunction*

$$u_1: L_S \mathbf{S}/A \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B: u^*.$$

Proof. We must show that every arrow $(\{1\}, b) \rightarrow (I, f)$ in \mathbf{S}/A is mapped to a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B . If B is a quasi-category then this is clear, for then $uf: I \rightarrow B$ factors through J and $(\{1\}, u(b)) \rightarrow (J, uf)$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B . In general, we may compose with an inner anodyne $i: B \rightarrow B'$, where B' is a quasi-category. The argument just given shows that $i_1 u_1$ sends every arrow in S to a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B' . But we have seen that i_1 reflects covariant equivalences (Theorem 10 on p. 151). \square

As an instance of this lemma, suppose that $u: A \rightarrow B$ is a map of simplicial sets which factors as

$$A \xrightarrow{v} L(A, S) \xrightarrow{w} B.$$

Then clearly every arrow in S is mapped to an equivalence in B and so Lemma 19 implies that the Quillen adjunction (u_1, u^*) between the covariant model structures descends to a Quillen adjunction $u_1: L_S \mathbf{S}/A \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B$ from the localization $L_S \mathbf{S}/A$.

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 14 – *Let A be a simplicial set and let $S \subset A_1$ be a set of arrows in A . Suppose that $u: A \rightarrow B$ is a map of simplicial sets which factors as*

$$A \xrightarrow{v} L(A, S) \xrightarrow{w} B.$$

Then the Quillen adjunction

$$u_1: L_S \mathbf{S}/A \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B: u^*$$

is a Quillen equivalence if and only if $w: L(A, S) \rightarrow B$ is a weak r -equivalence.

⁵⁹Gabriel and Zisman, 1967, *Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory*, Paragraph 5.4.

⁶⁰Kapulkin, LeFanu, and Voevodsky, 2012, *The simplicial model of univalent foundations*, Lemma 2.2.5.

Proof. We have proven above that $v_1: L_S \mathbf{S}/A \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/L(A, S): v^*$ is a Quillen equivalence. Therefore $u_1: L_S \mathbf{S}/A \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B: u^*$ is a Quillen equivalence if and only if $w_1: \mathbf{S}/L(A, S) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B: w^*$ is a Quillen equivalence, if and only if $w: L(A, S) \rightarrow B$ is a weak r -equivalence (Proposition 5 on p. 154). \square

Remark 3 – It follows that if in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 14 on the previous page above, u is essentially surjective, then $u: A \rightarrow B$ exhibits B as a localization of A at S if and only if the Quillen adjunction in the statement of Theorem 14 on the previous page is a Quillen equivalence.

Remark 4 – Let B be a simplicial set and let $p_B: N(\Delta/B) \rightarrow B$ be the last vertex map. Let S denote the set of final vertex maps $n: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ in $N(\Delta/B)$. Then p_B sends each map in S to an identity arrow in B . It follows that the composite $\bigsqcup_{s \in S} I \rightarrow N(\Delta/B) \xrightarrow{p_B} B$ factors through $\bigsqcup_{s \in S} \Delta[0]$ and hence through $\bigsqcup_{s \in S} J$. Therefore there is a canonical map $L(N(\Delta/B), S) \rightarrow B$ and the map p_B factors as the composite $N(\Delta/B) \rightarrow L(N(\Delta/B), S) \rightarrow B$.

5.3 The delocalization theorem

Recall from the introduction the definition of the last vertex map $p_B: N(\Delta/B) \rightarrow B$. Recall also that we write S for the set of final vertex maps in Δ/B . When we want to emphasize the simplicial set B we will sometimes write S_B for S .

Our next goal is the following key theorem from the introduction (Theorem 3 on p. 140):

Theorem (Joyal) – *Let B be a simplicial set. Then the canonical map $p_B: N(\Delta/B) \rightarrow B$ exhibits B as a localization of $N(\Delta/B)$ with respect to the set S of final vertex maps in Δ/B . In particular the induced map $L(N(\Delta/B), S) \rightarrow B$ is a weak categorical equivalence.*

Here the map $L(N(\Delta/B), S) \rightarrow B$ in the statement of the theorem is obtained as in Remark 4. As stated in the introduction, we view this theorem as an analog for simplicial sets of the delocalization theorem of Dwyer and Kan (1987, Theorem 2.5).

Proof. It will be slightly more convenient to replace the set S with the set of arrows of the wide subcategory W_B of Δ/B consisting of those arrows $u: \Delta[m] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ in Δ/B for which $u(m) = n$. It is straightforward to check that the canonical map $L(N(\Delta/B), S) \rightarrow L(N(\Delta/B), W_B)$ is a weak categorical equivalence.

Let $F: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ denote the functor which sends a simplicial set B to $L(N(\Delta/B), W_B)$. Then F is cocontinuous, since $N(\Delta/B)$ and W_B are cocontinuous functors of B (a proof of the first statement may be found in Latch (1976/77) and the proof of the second statement is similar). Observe that if $B \subset B'$ then Δ/B is a subcategory of Δ/B' ; it follows that $F(B) \subset F(B')$. Since the Joyal model structure on \mathbf{S} is left proper, a standard argument using the skeletal filtration (see for example Joyal and Tierney (2000)) shows that we may reduce to the case in which $B = \Delta[n]$ is a simplex.

5. Localization of simplicial categories and quasi-categories

Thus our problem is to show that $L(N(\Delta/[n]), W_{\Delta[n]}) \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ is a weak categorical equivalence. Equivalently, we may prove that the map $p_{\Delta[n]}: N(\Delta/[n]) \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ is a localization with respect to $W_{\Delta[n]} \subset N(\Delta/[n])$. Let Z be a quasi-category, and write $\text{map}_W(N(\Delta/[n]), Z)$ for the full subcategory of $\text{map}(N(\Delta/[n]), Z)$ spanned by the maps $\phi: N(\Delta/[n]) \rightarrow Z$ which send arrows in $W = W_{\Delta[n]}$ to equivalences in Z .

There is a functor $r: [n] \rightarrow (\Delta/[n])$, defined on objects by the formula

$$r(i) = ([i], [i] \hookrightarrow [n]),$$

where the map $[i] \hookrightarrow [n]$ is the canonical one corresponding to the inclusion $\{0, \dots, i\} \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$.

Clearly we have $pr = \text{id}$ (here we have written $p := p_{\Delta[n]}$). There is a natural transformation $\alpha: \text{id} \rightarrow rp$ whose components are given by

$$([m], \alpha: [m] \rightarrow [n]) \rightarrow ([\alpha(m)], [\alpha(m)] \hookrightarrow [n])$$

where the map $[m] \rightarrow [\alpha(m)]$ is the canonical map induced by α . Clearly the components of α belong to $W_{\Delta[n]}$.

The functors p and r induce maps

$$p^*: \text{map}(\Delta[n], Z) \rightarrow \text{map}_W(N(\Delta/[n]), Z)$$

and

$$r^*: \text{map}_W(N(\Delta/[n]), Z) \rightarrow \text{map}(\Delta[n], Z)$$

respectively. It suffices to prove that there is a natural equivalence between p^*r^* and the identity mapping on $\text{map}_W(N(\Delta/[n]), Z)$.

Therefore, we may consider the following general situation. Suppose that A is a simplicial set and $W \subset A_1$ is a collection of arrows in A . Suppose that $\lambda: A \times I \rightarrow A$ is a homotopy between maps $f, g: A \rightarrow A$ such that $f(W) \subset W$ and $g(W) \subset W$, so that f and g induce maps $f^*, g^*: \text{map}_W(A, Z) \rightarrow \text{map}_W(A, Z)$ with the obvious notation. We claim that if the components $\lambda_a: f(a) \rightarrow g(a)$ belong to W , then λ induces a natural equivalence $\mu: \text{map}_W(A, Z) \times J \rightarrow \text{map}_W(A, Z)$ from f^* to g^* . This is enough to prove the statement above, i.e. the case where $f = rp$ and $g = \text{id}$.

The homotopy λ induces a homotopy $\text{map}_W(A, Z) \times I \rightarrow \text{map}(A, Z)$. Since $\text{map}_W(A, Z) \subset \text{map}(A, Z)$ is a full subcategory it follows by the assumption on f and g that this homotopy restricts to a homotopy $\mu: \text{map}_W(A, Z) \times I \rightarrow \text{map}_W(A, Z)$. To show that this latter homotopy μ is a natural equivalence, it suffices⁶¹ to prove that its components are equivalences. Let $\phi: A \rightarrow Z$ be a 0-simplex of $\text{map}_W(A, Z)$. Then $\mu: \{\phi\} \times I \rightarrow \text{map}_W(A, Z)$ is an edge in $\text{map}_W(A, Z)$ from ϕf to ϕg . Since $\text{map}_W(A, Z) \subset \text{map}(A, Z)$ is a full subcategory, it suffices to show that $\mu: \{\phi\} \times I \rightarrow \text{map}(A, Z)$ is an equivalence. Therefore⁶² it suffices to show that the components $\mu_a: \phi(f(a)) \rightarrow \phi(g(a))$ are equivalences in Z . But $\lambda_a: f(a) \rightarrow g(a)$ belongs to W by hypothesis, and hence μ_a is an equivalence for all $a \in A$, which completes the proof. \square

Remark 5 – Note that there is a canonical isomorphism $\Delta/B = \Delta/B^{\text{op}}$ between the simplex categories of the simplicial set and the opposite simplicial set B^{op} . Under this isomorphism, a simplex $\sigma: \Delta[n] \rightarrow B$ is sent to the corresponding simplex of the opposite simplicial set B^{op} ; observe also that final vertex maps in Δ/B are sent to initial vertex maps in Δ/B^{op} . Composing this isomorphism with $p_{B^{\text{op}}}$, we obtain a map of simplicial sets $N(\Delta/B) \rightarrow B^{\text{op}}$. The opposite $q_B := (p_{B^{\text{op}}})^{\text{op}}$ is then a map

$$q_B: (N(\Delta/B))^{\text{op}} \rightarrow B.$$

As for p_B , the map q_B is determined by the functor $q_{\Delta[n]}$ which sends $u: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in $(\Delta/[n])^{\text{op}}$ to $u(0)$. Straightforward manipulations with opposites then give the dual version of Theorem 3 on p. 140: the map

$$q_B: N(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow B$$

exhibits B as a localization of $N(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}$ at the set of initial vertex maps in $N(\Delta/B)$.

Combining the previous results with Lemma 18 on p. 181, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2 – *Let B be a simplicial set and let $S \subset \Delta/B$ denote the set of final vertex maps. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{C}[B] = L(\mathfrak{C}[N(\Delta/B)], S)$$

in $\text{ho}(\mathbf{SCat})$.

There is a similar isomorphism with S replaced by the set of initial vertex maps. From this corollary, together with Proposition 11 on p. 175 and Proposition 12 on p. 176, one may prove the following proposition, which gives a new model for the simplicial category $\mathfrak{C}[B]$.

Proposition 15 – *Let B be a simplicial set and let $W \subset \Delta/B$ denote the wide subcategory of final vertex maps. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{C}[B] = L^H(\Delta/B, W)$$

in the homotopy category $\text{ho}(\mathbf{SCat})$.

Again, there is a similar isomorphism with W replaced by the wide subcategory of initial vertex maps. We leave the details to the reader.

⁶¹Joyal, 2008, “The theory of quasicategories and its applications”, Theorem 5.14.

⁶²Ibid., Theorem 5.14.

5.4 L -cofinal functors

In this subsection we generalize some results of Dwyer and Kan on the concept of L -cofinal functors. This concept was originally introduced in the paper Dwyer and Kan (1984a) in the context of functors between ordinary categories; it has an evident generalization to the context of maps between simplicial sets which we now describe.

Definition 9 – If $u: A \rightarrow B$ is a map of simplicial sets then we say u is L -cofinal if the following two conditions are satisfied:

1. the fiber $u^{-1}(b)$ is weakly contractible for every vertex $b \in B$;
2. if $b \in B$ is a vertex and $1 \rightarrow Rb \rightarrow B$ is a factorization of $b: 1 \rightarrow B$ into a right anodyne map followed by a right fibration, then the map $u^{-1}(b) \rightarrow A \times_B Rb$ is left cofinal.

Here the map $u^{-1}(b) \rightarrow A \times_B Rb$ in (2) above is the canonical map into the pullback arising from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 u^{-1}(b) & \longrightarrow & Rb \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 A & \xrightarrow{u} & B
 \end{array} \tag{40}$$

in which $u^{-1}(b) \rightarrow Rb$ is the map given by the composite $u^{-1}(b) \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow Rb$.

Notice that if (2) above holds for one such factorization of $b: 1 \rightarrow B$, then it holds for every such factorization. The following lemma gives a simpler, equivalent, formulation of the notion of L -cofinal map when A and B are quasi-categories.

Lemma 20 – Suppose that $u: A \rightarrow B$ is a map of quasi-categories. Then u is L -cofinal if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

1. the fiber $u^{-1}(b)$ is weakly contractible for every vertex $b \in B$;
2. the canonical map $u^{-1}(b) \rightarrow A \times_B B/b$ is left cofinal for every vertex $b \in B$.

Proof. The proof of this lemma is straightforward and is left to the reader. □

It is not hard to show that every L -cofinal map is both left cofinal and right cofinal (in fact every L -cofinal map is *dominant*⁶³).

Let $u: A \rightarrow B$ be L -cofinal, and let S denote the set of arrows $S = A_1 \times_{B_1} B_0$ in A . Write S also for the set of maps $(\{1\}, b) \rightarrow (I, f)$ in \mathbf{S}/A with $f: a \rightarrow b \in S \subset A_1$. Then $u_! : \mathbf{S}/A \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$ sends every map in S to a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/B and hence descends to a left Quillen functor $u_! : L_S \mathbf{S}/A \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$ from the left Bousfield localization of the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/A . We have the following result.

⁶³Joyal, 2008, “The theory of quasicategories and its applications”, p. 173.

Theorem 15 – Let $u: A \rightarrow B$ be an L -cofinal map between simplicial sets and let $S = A_1 \times_{B_1} B_0$. Then the map $u: A \rightarrow B$ induces a Quillen equivalence

$$u_!: L_S \mathbf{S}/A \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B: u^*$$

where $L_S \mathbf{S}/A$ denotes the left Bousfield localization of the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/A and where \mathbf{S}/B is equipped with the covariant model structure.

Proof. We show that the left derived functor $(u_!)^L$ is fully faithful and the right derived functor $(u^*)^R$ is conservative. For the second statement, suppose that $X \rightarrow Y$ is a map in $\mathbf{L}(B)$ such that $u^*X \rightarrow u^*Y$ is a weak equivalence in the localized model structure. Then $u^*X \rightarrow u^*Y$ is a covariant equivalence in $\mathbf{L}(A)$ and hence is a fiberwise weak homotopy equivalence. Therefore $X(u(a)) \rightarrow Y(u(a))$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all $a \in A_0$, where $X(u(a))$ and $Y(u(a))$ denote the fibers of X and Y over $u(a)$. Since $u: A \rightarrow B$ is surjective it follows that $X \rightarrow Y$ is a covariant equivalence.

To show that the left derived functor $(u_!)^L$ is fully faithful we must show that the canonical map $X \rightarrow u^*Ru_!X$ is an S -local equivalence for every S -local left fibration X on A , where $Ru_!X$ denotes a fibrant replacement of $u_!X$. Since $u^*Ru_!X$ is S -local, we see therefore that $(u_!)^L$ is fully faithful if and only if $a^*X \rightarrow a^*u^*Ru_!X = b^*Ru_!X$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for every vertex $a \in A$, where $a: 1 \rightarrow A$ and $b = u(a): 1 \rightarrow B$ denote the canonical maps.

The map $b: 1 \rightarrow B$ factors as $1_b: 1 \rightarrow Rb \rightarrow B$ where $1_b: 1 \rightarrow Rb$ is right anodyne and $p: Rb \rightarrow B$ is a right fibration. Consider the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times_B Rb & \xrightarrow{v} & Rb \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \end{array} \quad (41)$$

Then the vertical maps are right fibrations and hence are smooth⁶⁴. It follows⁶⁵ that the diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{ho}(\mathbf{S}/A \times_B Rb) & \xrightarrow{(v_!)^L} & \mathrm{ho}(\mathbf{S}/Rb) \\ q^* \uparrow & & \uparrow p^* \\ \mathrm{ho}(\mathbf{S}/A) & \xrightarrow{(u_!)^L} & \mathrm{ho}(\mathbf{S}/B) \end{array} \quad (42)$$

commutes up to a natural isomorphism. In particular we have a covariant equivalence

$$Rv_!q^*X \rightarrow p^*Ru_!X$$

5. Localization of simplicial categories and quasi-categories

for any left fibration X on A . Since $1_b: 1 \rightarrow Rb$ is right anodyne, the induced map $b^*Ru_!X = 1_b^*p^*Ru_!X \rightarrow p^*Ru_!X$ is right anodyne and hence is a weak homotopy equivalence. Observe that the map $a: 1 \rightarrow A$ factors as the composite map $q(a, 1_b)$ where $(a, 1_b): 1 \rightarrow A \times_B Rb$ denotes the inclusion of the corresponding vertex. Therefore there is a canonical map $a^*X \rightarrow q^*X$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 a^*X & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & b^*Ru_!X \\
 \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 q^*X & \longrightarrow & Rv_!q^*X & \longrightarrow & p^*Ru_!X
 \end{array} \tag{43}$$

in which the map $q^*X \rightarrow Rv_!q^*X$ is a covariant equivalence in \mathbf{S}/Rb and hence is a weak homotopy equivalence. It follows therefore that the map $a^*X \rightarrow b^*Ru_!X$ is a weak homotopy equivalence if and only if the map $a^*X \rightarrow q^*X$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Since $i: u^{-1}(b) \rightarrow A \times_B Rb$ is left cofinal, the canonical map $Y \rightarrow q^*X$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, where Y is defined by the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y & \longrightarrow & q^*X \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 u^{-1}(b) & \xrightarrow{i} & A \times_B Rb
 \end{array} \tag{44}$$

Since X is \mathbf{S} -local, it follows⁶⁶ that $Y \rightarrow u^{-1}(b)$ is a Kan fibration. Hence $a^*Y \rightarrow Y$ is a weak homotopy equivalence since $u^{-1}(b)$ is weakly contractible. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

The following result is a direct analog of 2.7 of Dwyer and Kan (1987).

Theorem 16 – *Let $u: A \rightarrow B$ be an L -cofinal map between simplicial sets. Then the map $u: A \rightarrow B$ exhibits B as a localization of A at the set of arrows $S = A_1 \times_{B_1} B_0$ in A .*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 15 on the preceding page and Theorem 14 on p. 183. \square

⁶⁴Joyal, 2008, “The theory of quasicategories and its applications”, Definition 11.1.

⁶⁵Ibid., Proposition 11.6.

⁶⁶Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Proposition 2.1.3.1.

6 The straightening theorem

6.1 The straightening and unstraightening functors

In⁶⁷ Lurie defines a pair of functors $(\mathrm{St}_B, \mathrm{Un}_B)$ — the *straightening* and *unstraightening* functors respectively — forming part of an adjunction

$$\mathrm{St}_B: \mathbf{S}/B \rightleftarrows [\mathcal{C}[B], \mathbf{S}]: \mathrm{Un}_B.$$

Recall⁶⁸ that if $X \in \mathbf{S}/B$ then $\mathrm{St}_B(X): \mathcal{C}[B] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ is the simplicial functor defined by

$$\mathrm{St}_B(X) = \mathcal{C}[B \cup_X 1 \star X](1, -)$$

where 1 denotes the cone point of the join $1 \star X$. The adjunction $(\mathrm{St}_B, \mathrm{Un}_B)$ is a Quillen adjunction for the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B and the projective model structure on $[\mathcal{C}[B], \mathbf{S}]$. Recall the statement of the straightening theorem:

Theorem (Lurie (2009)) – *The Quillen adjunction*

$$\mathrm{St}_B: \mathbf{S}/B \rightleftarrows [\mathcal{C}[B], \mathbf{S}]: \mathrm{Un}_B$$

is a Quillen equivalence.

In this section we will give a reasonably straightforward proof of this theorem. In fact, we will also prove a variation on the straightening theorem in which the directions of the left and right adjoints are reversed. This is the “reversed” straightening theorem (see Theorem 4 on p. 140) which we prove in the next section.

Our strategy to prove the straightening theorem is to reduce it to the special case in which B is equal to the nerve NC of a category C . Recall⁶⁹ that the straightening and unstraightening functors are natural with respect to maps of the base and hence the following diagram of left Quillen functors commutes up to a natural isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{S}/N(\Delta/B) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{St}_{N(\Delta/B)}} & [\mathcal{C}[N(\Delta/B)], \mathbf{S}] \\ p! \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{C}[p] \\ \mathbf{S}/B & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{St}_B} & [\mathcal{C}[B], \mathbf{S}] \end{array} \quad (45)$$

It is easy to show, using Theorem 3 on p. 140, that on taking localizations with respect to the set of final vertex maps the vertical maps induce equivalences on homotopy categories. It therefore suffices to prove that the top horizontal map is the left adjoint in a Quillen equivalence. We give the details in Section 6.3 on p. 194 and Section 6.4 on p. 196 below.

⁶⁷Lurie, 2009, *Higher topos theory*, Section 2.2.1.

⁶⁸Ibid., Section 2.2.1.

⁶⁹Ibid., Proposition 2.2.1.1.

6. The straightening theorem

6.2 A reversed straightening theorem

As an application of Theorem 3 on p. 140, we make the following construction. Let B be a simplicial set. Choose a fibrant replacement functor $\mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(B)$ for the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B (by Rezk, Schwede, and Shipley (2001) such a functorial fibrant replacement exists because the covariant model structure is combinatorial). There is a natural inclusion $N(\mathbf{L}(B)) \hookrightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{L}(B))$ from the ordinary nerve of the category underlying the simplicially enriched category $\mathbf{L}(B)$ into the simplicial nerve of $\mathbf{L}(B)$, and we may consider the functor $\psi: N(\Delta/B) \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{L}(B))$ defined as the composite

$$N(\Delta/B) \hookrightarrow N(\mathbf{S}/B) \rightarrow N(\mathbf{L}(B)) \hookrightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{L}(B)).$$

Observe that this composite functor sends every initial vertex map to an equivalence in $N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{L}(B))$ (but not in $N(\mathbf{L}(B))$). Therefore, by the dual version of Theorem 3 on p. 140 (see Remark 5 on p. 186), it follows that there is a map

$$\phi: B^{\text{op}} \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{L}(B))$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(\Delta/B) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{L}(B)) \\ p_B \downarrow & \nearrow \phi & \\ B^{\text{op}} & & \end{array} \quad (46)$$

commutes up to an invertible 1-arrow. In other words, there exists a map $h: N(\Delta/B) \times J \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{L}(B))$ restricting to ψ on $N(\Delta/B) \times \{0\}$ and ϕp_B on $N(\Delta/B) \times \{1\}$.

By adjointness the map ϕ corresponds to a unique simplicial functor

$$\bar{\phi}: \mathfrak{C}[B]^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(B).$$

While this functor is difficult to describe explicitly, its action on objects is easy to understand; it sends a vertex $b \in B$ to the left fibration $Lb \in \mathbf{L}(B)$ determined by a choice of a factorization $1 \rightarrow Lb \rightarrow B$ of the vertex $b: 1 \rightarrow B$ into a left anodyne map followed by a left fibration.

Remark 6 – In the case when $B = NC$ is the nerve of a category C , we can however give a much more explicit description of the functor $NC^{\text{op}} \rightarrow N_{\Delta} \mathbf{L}(NC)$. In this case we may simply take the (simplicial) nerve of the functor $C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(NC)$ which sends an object c in C to the left fibration $NC_{c/}$ over NC . If $\sigma: \Delta[n] \rightarrow NC$ is an object of Δ/NC , then there is a canonical map $\Delta[n] \rightarrow NC_{\sigma(0)/}$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta[n] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & NC_{\sigma(0)/} \\ & \searrow \sigma & \swarrow \\ & NC & \end{array} \quad (47)$$

commute; this defines a natural transformation from the inclusion $\Delta/NC \subset \mathbf{S}/NC$ to the composite functor $\Delta/NC \rightarrow C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(NC) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/NC$. Note that the canonical map $\Delta[n] \rightarrow NC_{\sigma(0)}$ is left anodyne.

Left Kan extension of the composite map $\mathfrak{C}[B]^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(B) \subset \mathbf{S}/B$ along the (simplicial) Yoneda embedding $\mathfrak{C}[B]^{\text{op}} \rightarrow [\mathfrak{C}[B], \mathbf{S}]$ determines a (simplicial) adjunction

$$\phi_! : [\mathfrak{C}[B], \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B : \phi^!$$

Here the right adjoint is the functor $\phi^!$ which on objects sends $X \in \mathbf{S}/B$ to the simplicial presheaf $\text{map}_B(\bar{\phi}(-), X)$. Since every object in \mathbf{S}/B is cofibrant, it follows easily that the right adjoint sends (trivial) fibrations in \mathbf{S}/B to pointwise (trivial) fibrations in $[\mathfrak{C}[B], \mathbf{S}]$, in other words the adjunction above is a (simplicial) Quillen adjunction. Our main result in this section is the following theorem from the introduction (Theorem 4 on p. 140).

Theorem – *Let B be a simplicial set. The map $\mathfrak{C}[B]^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(B)$ induces a Quillen adjunction*

$$\phi_! : [\mathfrak{C}[B], \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B : \phi^!$$

for the covariant model structure on \mathbf{S}/B and the projective model structure on $[\mathfrak{C}[B], \mathbf{S}]$. Moreover this Quillen adjunction is a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. From the construction of the map $\phi : B^{\text{op}} \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{L}(B))$, recall that the composite functor $N(\Delta/B) \rightarrow B^{\text{op}} \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{L}(B))$ is naturally equivalent to the functor given as the composite $N(\Delta/B) \rightarrow N(\mathbf{L}(B)) \hookrightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{L}(B))$. By adjointness, it follows that we have two commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}[N(\Delta/B)] & \longrightarrow & \Delta/B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C}[N(\Delta/B) \times J] & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & \mathbf{L}(B) \end{array} \quad (\text{A})$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}[N(\Delta/B) \times J] & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & \mathbf{L}(B) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \bar{\phi} \\ \mathfrak{C}[N(\Delta/B)] & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}[p_B]} & \mathfrak{C}[B]^{\text{op}} \end{array} \quad (\text{B})$$

Here the map $\mathfrak{C}[N(\Delta/B)] \rightarrow \Delta/B$ in diagram Equation (A) is the counit of the adjunction $\mathfrak{C} \dashv N_{\Delta}$ (recall that the simplicial nerve and the ordinary nerve coincide on Δ/B), while the map $\Delta/B \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(B)$ in diagram Equation (A) is the composite

6. The straightening theorem

$\Delta/B \hookrightarrow \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(B)$ defining the functor ψ . The simplicial functor \bar{h} is the adjoint of the natural equivalence $h: N(\Delta/B) \times J \rightarrow N_\Delta(\mathbf{L}(B))$.

Using the inclusion $\mathbf{L}(B) \subset \mathbf{S}/B$ we may extend diagram Equation (A) on the preceding page to a commutative square in which the bottom right hand corner is \mathbf{S}/B . From this new diagram we obtain in a standard way by left Kan extension the following diagram of left Quillen functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathcal{C}[N(\Delta/B)]^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] & \longrightarrow & [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [\mathcal{C}[N(\Delta/B) \times J]^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{S}/B \end{array} \quad (48)$$

which commutes up to natural isomorphism, and in which \mathbf{S}/B is equipped with the covariant model structure, and the categories of simplicial presheaves are equipped with the respective projective model structures. Observe that the functors $\mathcal{C}[N(\Delta/B)] \rightarrow \Delta/B$ and $\mathcal{C}[N(\Delta/B)] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[N(\Delta/B) \times J]$ are DK equivalences. Therefore, by Proposition 13 on p. 179 above, the upper horizontal map and the left-hand vertical map are Quillen equivalences.

The set $S \subset \Delta/B$ of initial vertex maps $0: \Delta[0] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ induces, by Yoneda, corresponding sets of maps in $[\mathcal{C}[N(\Delta/B)]^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ and $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$; let us abusively denote these sets of maps by S again. Likewise we obtain a set of maps $S \times \{0, 1\}$ in $[\mathcal{C}[N(\Delta/B) \times J]^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$ in the obvious way. On passing to left Bousfield localizations we obtain the diagram of left Quillen functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_S [\mathcal{C}[N(\Delta/B)]^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] & \longrightarrow & L_S [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{S \times \{0, 1\}} [\mathcal{C}[N(\Delta/B) \times J]^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{S}/B \end{array} \quad (49)$$

commuting up to natural isomorphism. The left Quillen functor $L_S [(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$ is induced by the functor R given as the composite $R: \Delta/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(B)$, where the second functor is the fibrant replacement chosen above. Let $i: \Delta/B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$ denote the inclusion; there is then a natural transformation $i \rightarrow R$ which induces a natural transformation between the two Quillen adjunctions

$$[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/B$$

and which becomes a natural isomorphism at the level of homotopy categories. It follows, by Theorem 1 on p. 139, that both Quillen adjunctions are Quillen equivalences. Hence the left Quillen functor

$$L_{S \times \{0, 1\}} [\mathcal{C}[N(\Delta/B) \times J]^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$$

is a Quillen equivalence.

Now we turn our attention to the second commutative diagram Equation (B) on p. 192 above. Again, by composing with the inclusion $\mathbf{L}(B) \subset \mathbf{S}/B$ we obtain a commutative square in which the top right hand corner is \mathbf{S}/B . This square induces, in a standard way by left Kan extension and taking localizations, the following diagram of left Quillen functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L_{S \times \{0,1\}}[\mathfrak{C}[N(\Delta/B) \times J]^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{S}/B \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 L_S[\mathfrak{C}[N(\Delta/B)]^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}] & \longrightarrow & [\mathfrak{C}[B], \mathbf{S}]
 \end{array} \tag{50}$$

commuting up to natural isomorphism. Again, the left hand vertical functor is a Quillen equivalence, and so to prove that the left Quillen functor $[\mathfrak{C}[B], \mathbf{S}] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$ is a Quillen equivalence it suffices to prove that the lower right hand functor is a Quillen equivalence. For this, observe that we may replace the set S with the set of arrows in the subcategory W of Δ/B consisting of the maps $u: \Delta[m] \rightarrow \Delta[n]$ in Δ/B such that $u(m) = n$; we then have an equality

$$L_S[\mathfrak{C}[N(\Delta/B)], \mathbf{S}] = L_W[\mathfrak{C}[N(\Delta/B)], \mathbf{S}]$$

of model structures. The desired statement then follows from a combination of Theorem 3 on p. 140, Proposition 12 on p. 176 and Theorem 13 on p. 179 above. \square

Remark 7 – It follows from the proof above that if we take as the map ϕ the canonical functor $NC^{\text{op}} \rightarrow N_{\Delta} \mathbf{L}(NC)$ described in Remark 6 on p. 191 above, we obtain a Quillen equivalence as in Theorem 4 on p. 140 above. Using the fact that $\mathfrak{C}[NC] \rightarrow C$ is a DK equivalence and Theorem 13 on p. 179 we see that the Quillen adjunction

$$[C, \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows \mathbf{S}/NC$$

induced by the canonical functor $C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(NC) \subset \mathbf{S}/NC$ is a Quillen equivalence (or one may prove this directly).

6.3 The straightening theorem for categories

In this section we prove the straightening theorem in the special case where the base is the nerve of a category.

Proposition 16 – *Let C be a small category. Then the Quillen adjunction*

$$\text{St}_{NC}: \mathbf{S}/NC \rightleftarrows [\mathfrak{C}[NC], \mathbf{S}]: \text{Un}_{NC}$$

is a Quillen equivalence.

6. The straightening theorem

Proof. By Theorem 4 on p. 140 it suffices to prove that the composite functor

$$[\mathcal{C}[NC], \mathbf{S}] \xrightarrow{\phi_!} \mathbf{S}/NC \xrightarrow{\text{St}_{NC}} [\mathcal{C}[NC], \mathbf{S}]$$

is the left adjoint in a Quillen equivalence. Since the functor $\phi_! : [\mathcal{C}[NC], \mathbf{S}] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/NC$ factors as $[\mathcal{C}[NC], \mathbf{S}] \rightarrow [C, \mathbf{S}] \xrightarrow{u} \mathbf{S}/NC$, it suffices by Remark 7 on the preceding page to prove that the composite functor

$$[C, \mathbf{S}] \xrightarrow{u} \mathbf{S}/NC \xrightarrow{\text{St}_{NC}} [\mathcal{C}[NC], \mathbf{S}]$$

is the left adjoint in a Quillen equivalence. Composing with the DK-equivalence $\psi : \mathcal{C}[NC] \rightarrow C$ (see Proposition 11 on p. 175), we see that it suffices (by Proposition 13 on p. 179 and Proposition 2.2.1.1 of Lurie (2009)) to prove that the composite

$$[C, \mathbf{S}] \xrightarrow{u} \mathbf{S}/NC \xrightarrow{\text{St}_\psi} [C, \mathbf{S}]$$

is the left adjoint in a Quillen equivalence. Let c be an object of C and let $y(c)$ be the (discrete) representable simplicial presheaf associated to c . The image of $y(c)$ under u is the left fibration $NC_{c/}$. The canonical map $1 \star NC_{c/} \rightarrow NC$ sends the cone point to c ; it induces a map

$$f : \text{St}_{NC}(NC_{c/})(-) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[NC](c, -)$$

of simplicial presheaves. The canonical map $\text{id} : \{c\} \rightarrow NC_{c/}$ induces a projective weak equivalence $\text{St}_{NC}(\text{id}) : \text{St}_{NC}(\{c\})(-) \rightarrow \text{St}_{NC}(NC_{c/})(-)$ and the composite $f \circ \text{St}_{NC}(\text{id})$ is an isomorphism. Therefore the map f is a projective weak equivalence. Applying the functor $\psi_!$ and composing with the DK equivalence ϕ gives a projective weak equivalence

$$\text{St}_\psi(NC_{c/})(-) \rightarrow C(c, -)$$

in $[C, \mathbf{S}]$. Moreover this map is natural in c . We obtain therefore a natural transformation from the composite map $\text{St}_\psi \circ u$ to the identity map on $[C, \mathbf{S}]$. The components of this natural transformation are projective weak equivalences (it suffices⁷⁰ to check this on representables, which is the statement above) and therefore it follows that it defines a natural isomorphism at the level of homotopy categories. Therefore, the composite $\text{St}_\psi \circ u$ above is an equivalence at the level of homotopy categories, since it is naturally isomorphic to the identity functor. \square

⁷⁰Dugger, 2001, “Universal homotopy theories”.

6.4 Proof of the straightening theorem

In this section we give the details of the proof of the straightening theorem sketched in Section 6.1 on p. 190 above. Recall that the following diagram of left Quillen functors commutes up to a natural isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{S}/N(\Delta/B) & \xrightarrow{\text{St}_{N(\Delta/B)}} & [\mathfrak{C}[N(\Delta/B)], \mathbf{S}] \\
 p_! \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{C}[p]_! \\
 \mathbf{S}/B & \xrightarrow{\text{St}_B} & [\mathfrak{C}[B], \mathbf{S}]
 \end{array} \tag{51}$$

Our aim is to prove that the lower horizontal map induces an equivalence of homotopy categories.

Let $S \subset \Delta/B$ denote the set of maps induced by the final vertex maps $n: [0] \rightarrow [n]$; let S also denote the corresponding set of maps in $\mathfrak{C}[N(\Delta/B)]$. By Remark 3 on p. 184 and Theorem 3 on p. 140 the induced left Quillen functor $p_!: L_S \mathbf{S}/N(\Delta/B) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}/B$ is a left Quillen equivalence. By Section 6.3 on p. 194 above, it suffices to prove that the induced Quillen adjunction

$$\mathfrak{C}[p]_!: L_S[\mathfrak{C}[N(\Delta/B)], \mathbf{S}] \rightleftarrows [\mathfrak{C}[B], \mathbf{S}]: \mathfrak{C}[p]^*$$

is a Quillen equivalence. But this has been proven above in the last step of the proof of Theorem 4 on p. 140 above.

Acknowledgments

I am extremely grateful to an anonymous referee for a careful reading of the first version of this paper and for pointing out some errors and making many useful suggestions. This research was supported under the Australian Research Council's *Discovery Projects* funding scheme (project number DP120100106).

References

- Bergner, J. (2007). "A model category structure on the category of simplicial categories". *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (5), pp. 2043–2058 (cit. on pp. 138, 174).
- Cordier, J.-M. (1982). "Sur la notion de diagramme homotopiquement cohérent". *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle* **23** (1), pp. 93–112 (cit. on pp. 138, 175).
- Dugger, D. (2001). "Universal homotopy theories". *Adv. Math.* **164** (1), pp. 144–176 (cit. on pp. 137, 167, 195).
- Dugger, D. and D. Spivak (2011). "Rigidification of quasi-categories". *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **11** (1), pp. 225–261 (cit. on pp. 138, 141, 175).

References

- Dwyer, W. G. and D. M. Kan (1980a). “Calculating simplicial localizations”. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **18** (1), pp. 17–35 (cit. on p. 175).
- Dwyer, W. G. and D. M. Kan (1980b). “Simplicial localizations of categories”. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **17** (3), pp. 267–284 (cit. on pp. 142, 174, 176, 178).
- Dwyer, W. G. and D. M. Kan (1984a). “A classification theorem for diagrams of simplicial sets”. *Topology* **23** (2), pp. 139–155 (cit. on pp. 143, 187).
- Dwyer, W. G. and D. M. Kan (1984b). “Homotopy theory and simplicial groupoids”. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* **46** (4), pp. 379–385 (cit. on p. 177).
- Dwyer, W. G. and D. M. Kan (1987). “Equivalences between homotopy theories of diagrams”. In: *Algebraic topology and algebraic K-theory* (Princeton, N.J., 1983). Vol. 113. Ann. of Math. Stud. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, pp. 180–205 (cit. on pp. 140–142, 148, 154, 178, 179, 184, 189).
- Gabriel, P. and M. Zisman (1967). *Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory*. **35**. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. New York: Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. (cit. on p. 183).
- Goerss, P. and R. Jardine (1999). *Simplicial homotopy theory*. **174**. Progress in Mathematics. Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag (cit. on pp. 160, 165, 172, 173).
- Heuts, G. and I. Moerdijk (2015). “Left fibrations and homotopy colimits”. *Math. Z.* **279** (3–4), pp. 723–744 (cit. on pp. 141, 151, 154).
- Heuts, G. and I. Moerdijk (2016). *Left fibrations and homotopy colimits II*. arXiv: 1602.01274 [math.AT] (cit. on p. 141).
- Hinich, V. (2016). “Dwyer-Kan localization revisited”. *Homology, Homotopy Appl.* **18** (1), pp. 27–48 (cit. on p. 179).
- Joyal, A. (2007). “Notes on quasi-categories”. URL: www.fields.utoronto.ca/av/slides/06-07/crs-quasibasic/joyal/download.pdf (cit. on pp. 140, 141, 148, 151).
- Joyal, A. (2008). “The theory of quasicategories and its applications”. URL: mat.uab.cat/~kock/crm/hocat/advanced-course/Quadern45-2.pdf (cit. on pp. 141, 143–146, 148, 157, 163, 179, 186, 187, 189).
- Joyal, A. and M. Tierney (2000). “On the theory of path groupoids”. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **149** (1), pp. 69–100 (cit. on p. 184).
- Joyal, A. and M. Tierney (2007). “Quasi-categories vs Segal spaces”. In: *Categories in algebra, geometry and mathematical physics*. Vol. 431. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, pp. 277–326 (cit. on pp. 143, 146, 154, 157, 158, 161).
- Kapulkin Chris, L., P. LeFanu, and V. Voevodsky (2012). *The simplicial model of univalent foundations*. arXiv: 1211.2851v2 [math.LO] (cit. on pp. 154, 183).
- Latch, D. M. (1976/77). “The uniqueness of homology for the category of small categories”. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **9** (2), pp. 221–237 (cit. on p. 184).
- Lurie, J. (2009). *Higher topos theory*. **170**. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, pp. xviii+925 (cit. on pp. 138, 141, 143–145, 148, 150, 151, 154, 160, 163, 170, 175, 178, 179, 181, 182, 189, 190, 195).

- Lurie, J. (2015). “Higher Algebra”. URL: <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/higheralgebra.pdf> (cit. on pp. 149, 179, 181).
- Rezk, C., S. Schwede, and B. Shipley (2001). “Simplicial structures on model categories and functors”. *Amer. J. Math.* **123** (3), pp. 551–575 (cit. on p. 191).
- Riehl, E. (2011). “On the structure of simplicial categories associated to quasi-categories”. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **150** (3), pp. 489–504 (cit. on pp. 138, 141, 175).
- Toën, B. and G. Vezzosi (2005). “Homotopical algebraic geometry. I. Topos theory”. *Adv. Math.* **193** (2), pp. 257–372 (cit. on pp. 178, 179).
- Toën, B. and G. Vezzosi (2015). “Caractères de Chern, traces équivariantes et géométrie algébrique dérivée”. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **21** (2), pp. 449–554 (cit. on p. 140).
- Waldhausen, F. (1985). “Algebraic K -theory of spaces”. In: *Algebraic and geometric topology*. New Brunswick, 1983. Vol. 1126. Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, Berlin, pp. 318–419 (cit. on p. 140).

Contents

1	Introduction	137
2	The covariant model structure	143
2.1	The simplicial enrichment of \mathbf{S}/B	143
2.2	The covariant model structure for simplicial sets	143
2.3	Left anodyne maps in \mathbf{S}	145
2.4	Covariant equivalences and cofinal maps	148
3	Bisimplicial sets	157
3.1	The category of bisimplicial sets	157
3.2	Simplicial enrichments	158
3.3	The projective model structure on \mathbf{SS}	159
3.4	Left fibrations of bisimplicial sets	161
4	Covariant model structures and simplicial presheaves	166
4.1	The projective model structure on $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$	166
4.2	The localized projective model structure on $[(\Delta/B)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}]$	167
4.3	Another Quillen equivalence	171
5	Localization of simplicial categories and quasi-categories	174
5.1	Simplicial localization	174
5.2	Localization of quasi-categories	179
5.3	The delocalization theorem	184
5.4	L -cofinal functors	187
6	The straightening theorem	190
6.1	The straightening and unstraightening functors	190
6.2	A reversed straightening theorem	191
6.3	The straightening theorem for categories	194
6.4	Proof of the straightening theorem	196
	Acknowledgments	196
	References	196
	Contents	i